

**NR\_key\_name:** 678F25A4E3D7DDAA85256508004FA9C8  
**SendTo:** CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=David Marwell/O=ARRB @ ARRB  
**CopyTo:**  
**DisplayBlindCopyTo:**  
**BlindCopyTo:** CN=R ecord/O=ARRB  
**From:** CN=Tom Samoluk/O=ARRB  
**DisplayFromDomain:**  
**DisplayDate:** 09/04/1997  
**DisplayDate\_Time:** 12:21:01 PM  
**ComposedDate:** 09/04/1997  
**ComposedDate\_Time:** 10:30:08 AM  
**Subject:** Acoustical Evidence-Revisited  
ALTHOUGH I AM AWARE THAT WITH A TRANSITION UNDERWAY AND THE BOARD ABOUT TO ENTER ITS LAST YEAR, IT IS NOT AN ideal time to be raising new "project" ideas, but I did want to mention the acoustics evidence again for consideration.I believe the questions for consideration is the following: Is it worth a preliminary exploration of whether or not the Board can do anything to clarify the acoustical evidence, without a big expenditure of time or money?I am raising this issue again because I recently saw on the Internet reference to a February 18, 1983 letter (post National Academy of Sciences (NAS) study that panned the HSCA conclusion on the acoustical evidence) from Bolt Beranek and Newman to Robert Blakey in which suggestions for further acoustical evidence work were suggested, as a follow-up to the work that they did for the HSCA.I am attaching a couple of Gumshoe reports from Anne Buttimer for background. The tapes are apparently at the Archives. One of the reports mentions some comments from Gary Mack. Although I had discussed the acoustical evidence with him several times, he never wrote to us about his specific thoughts.The controversy over the acoustical evidence still lingers. I believe that it is worth exploring whether or not we can clarify the record on this matter. Maybe technology has advanced in this science. If the answer from experts is that the NAS already answered all the questions, perhaps that is the end of it. However, if there are ways to answer remaining questions in a simple and inexpensive way, as Mack made it sound could be done in the report below, perhaps it is worth considering.NOTESIssue # 27Document's Author: Anne Buttimer/ARRB Date Created: 09/11/95 Notes:On September 8, 1995 I reviewed and made a photocopy of the accession file at Archives II for the Dallas Police Department Dictabelts in the JFK Collection. Based on the correspondence in that file (see hard copy file for the memos and letters) and based on Steve Tilley's statements that he believes the dictabelts and audiograph discs in the Collection are the originals, no further investigation of this issue will be conducted at this time.CALL REPORTIssue # 17Document's Author: Anne Buttimer/ARRB Date Created: 06/12/95 The Players Who called whom? Who initiated the call? Description of the Call Date: 06/12/95Subject: Response to my May 24 letter about LHO school records.Summary of the Call:Gary Mack called me and left a voice mail message on June 8, 1995 in response to my letter to him of May 24. I called him this morning in reply to his message. Mack told me he spoke last week with Dallas County Sheriff Boles about the location and existence of the Dallas Police Department dictabelts. Mack said he also spoke with Steve Tilley about the dictabelts(s) during the Review Board's Dallas meeting in November 1994. At that time Tilley told Mack he did not know what the JFK Collection contained in terms of the dictabelts. Mack also spoke recently with Robert Blackey about the belts. Mack spoke with Sheriff Boles as a follow-up. Boles told him that at the conclusion of the Ramsey Panel Record  
**Body:**  
**recstat:**  
**DeliveryPriority:** N  
**DeliveryReport:** B  
**ReturnReceipt:**  
**Categories:**