

NR_key_name: CD76D6E581C7D363852564A0004A7BD2
SendTo: CN=David Marwell/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo:
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=David Marwell/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 05/23/1997
DisplayDate_Time: 9:33:32 AM
ComposedDate: 05/23/1997
ComposedDate_Time: 9:00:22 AM
Subject: Conversation with Miriam Nisbet on Ballistics

I spoke to Miriam Nisbet regarding the ballistics testing and their recent letter on the subject. Here are the major points of the conversation:1. I said that the letter could be read as unequivocal on not testing the evidence and was a tough letter for us to follow-up on. She said that she felt the letter was clear on NARA's mission to preserve evidence, but not so clear on the second point that the testing was not for them to direct.2. The letter went to us because they never had a direct communication with DOJ. They did not have a request/direct communication from us either on an actual request for testing, but we had sent the DOJ materials and arranged the meeting.3. Nisbet agreed that DOJ changed positions on requesting the testing. She waited between July and November for Fogel to send over the expected request. Obviously, that never happened. What bothered Miriam is that DOJ never articulated that they had changed their position; they just let time pass.4. I told her that we would recommend to the Board that we send a letter to NARA requesting the testing and that I would let her know when it was going to be sent.

Body:
recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: