

NR_key_name: 0F05C4DC95DB7D3B85256222004C3037
SendTo: CN=Dennis Quinn/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo:
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Douglas Horne/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 08/24/1995
DisplayDate_Time: 9:52:21 AM
ComposedDate: 08/24/1995
ComposedDate_Time: 9:52:11 AM
Subject: Re: Tasking to Marine Corps

To: Jeremy Gunn/ARRBcc: From: Douglas Horne/ARRB Date: 08/24/95 09:51:46 AMSubject: Re: Tasking to Marine CorpsDennis and I feel quite strongly that our methodology should remain consistent. If SECNAV issues a notice, the Marines will jump, whether they like it or not.To: Jeremy Gunn/ARRBcc: Dennis Quinn/ARRB, Douglas Horne/ARRBFrom: Christopher Barger/ARRBDate: 08/24/95 09:25:06 AMSubject: Re: Tasking to Marine CorpsI have a different take on this. While I agree that the Marines would technically fall under anything issued by the Navy, according to the letter of the law, we should remember that they HATE to admit it. For precisely that reason, as we are attempting to build good will and a good working relationship, I think we'd be better off acknowledging them as a seperate entity and ask the Commandant to issue a directive. It's the diplomatically correct thing to do.To: Douglas Horne/ARRB, Dennis Quinn/ARRB, Christopher Barger/ARRBcc: From: Jeremy Gunn/ARRB Date: 08/24/95 08:14:18 AMSubject: Tasking to Marine CorpsShould we ask the Commandant to issue a directive, or is the Navy sufficient?

Body:
recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: