

**NR\_key\_name:** 1BEF2B3B2CB3D3ED85256234006643C4  
**SendTo:** CN=Chet Rhodes/O=ARRB @ ARRB

**CopyTo:** CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=David Marwell/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=Kevin Tiernan/O=ARRB @ ARRB  
**DisplayBlindCopyTo:**  
**BlindCopyTo:** CN=R ecord/O=ARRB  
**From:** CN=Phil Golrick/O=ARRB  
**DisplayFromDomain:**  
**DisplayDate:** 09/11/1995  
**DisplayDate\_Time:** 2:43:55 PM  
**ComposedDate:** 09/11/1995  
**ComposedDate\_Time:** 2:37:00 PM  
**Subject:** review track database

I think we've talked about this before . . . The Review Track database needs more flexibility in pairing (or tripling, etc.) together multiple grounds for a single redaction. As it is now, for example, a redaction taken on both confidential informant (Section 6(4)) and personal privacy (Section 6(3)) grounds must be analyzed as separate postponements. This results in double-counting in the number of "ARRB Releases" or "Sustained Postponements" stated in the automatically-generated draft notice for the Federal Register. As we move toward high-volume postponement review, it will be increasingly burdensome to identify and correct such discrepancies before sending the notice to the Federal Register for publication.

**Body:**  
**recstat:** Record  
**DeliveryPriority:** N  
**DeliveryReport:** B  
**ReturnReceipt:**  
**Categories:**