| | |
|---|---|
| **NR_key_name:** | 09B32B24D1D31FC18525628800499872 |
| **SendTo:** | CN=Mary McAuliffe/O=ARRB @ ARRB |
| **CopyTo:** | |
| **DisplayBlindCopyTo:** | |
| **BlindCopyTo:** | CN=R ecord/O=ARRB |
| **From:** | CN=Christopher Barger/O=ARRB |
| **DisplayFromDomain:** | |
| **DisplayDate:** | 12/04/1995 |
| **DisplayDate_Time:** | 8:25:45 AM |
| **ComposedDate:** | 12/04/1995 |
| **ComposedDate_Time:** | 8:23:52 AM |
| **Subject:** | Re: The confusing mystery document |
| **Body:** | This would explain the problem with that document that had 13 redactions listed and 14 on the document. Does Chet's solution sound acceptable to you?To: Christopher Barger/ARRBcc: From: Chet Rhodes/ARRBDate: 12/01/95 11:27:56 PMSubject: Re: The confusing mystery documentIt looks like a bug in the counting of 1a&1b combos.1-13 is a 1a&1b.I can fix it but for the short term why do we not just select the 1a or 1b for the part that will be postponed.To: Chet Rhodes/ARRBcc: From: Christopher Barger/ARRB Date: 12/01/95 09:07:42 AMSubject: The confusing mystery documentMary gave you the wrong RIF of that document with 14 redactions that's listed as having only 13. The true RIF is 104-10015-10396. |
| **recstat:** | Record |
| **DeliveryPriority:** | N |
| **DeliveryReport:** | B |
| **ReturnReceipt:** | |
| **Categories:** | |