

**NR\_key\_name:** F32991E0BCFBEC685256384006FCD6C  
**SendTo:** CN=Chet Rhodes/O=ARRB @ ARRB  
**CopyTo:** CN=David Marwell/O=ARRB @ ARRB  
**DisplayBlindCopyTo:**  
**BlindCopyTo:** CN=R ecord/O=ARRB  
**From:** CN=Joseph Freeman/O=ARRB  
**DisplayFromDomain:**  
**DisplayDate:** 08/12/1996  
**DisplayDate\_Time:** 4:38:33 PM  
**ComposedDate:** 08/12/1996  
**ComposedDate\_Time:** 4:21:11 PM  
**Subject:** Potential need for new postponement category in review track

This e-mail is pursuant to a conversation held with Chet. Upon occasion up to now, and no doubt increasingly in the future, I come across referred documents in the HSCA collection which should never have been referred because they don't have genuine agency equities or (in the most recent case) are already out somewhere else in the Collection. The easiest and most appropriate thing to do with such records is to enter them on the review track as green items. But in order to process them in review track, I have to enter a postponement code, and therein lies the rub. First of all, there aren't any formal postponement requests involved w/these documents. They are not present in the public boxes at NARA II because they were referred -- not because anyone asked for them to be postponed. Moreover, there's nothing in them that anyone would ask to postpone -- they represent inappropriate referrals and if there was anything in them that an agency would want to postpone, or even had the right to ask be postponed, I wouldn't be trying to clear them to begin with. But our current system forces me to choose an inappropriate postponement code so that I can process them. The answer would seem to be an additional category in the reasons for postponement column that provides a more appropriate description of these kinds of cases.

**Body:**  
**recstat:** Record  
**DeliveryPriority:** N  
**DeliveryReport:** B  
**ReturnReceipt:**  
**Categories:**