

NR_key_name: 2FC4DEC8CE0ED6618525639C006861B2
SendTo: CN=David Marwell/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=Tom Samoluk/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo:
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Eileen Sullivan/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 09/05/1996
DisplayDate_Time: 3:45:00 PM
ComposedDate: 09/05/1996
ComposedDate_Time: 3:00:08 PM
Subject: Kevin Walsh---AARC Quarterly

After my discussion with David and Tom re: Walsh's proposed changes to Jack's letter, I called Mr. Walsh. I explained the technical amendment to the Act which reset the clock to October 1, 1994 (our start date), thus bringing us to a close October 1, 1996. I explained that the period from October 1, 1996 to September 30, 1997 is the extension. He "respectfully disagrees." He thinks the Board may have the authority to request time needed to finish the job altogether. I asked if he could tell me where in the statute that is written. He could not, and said "I may be wrong." At any rate, his point is that this is on the minds of the research community and he will look into it. He would like to give the readers something definite (we will end 10/97 or we will seek a continuation based on his interpretation of the law.) He says this issue (ARRB life span) will be addressed in the upcoming issue of the AARC Quarterly, and would hope Jack would address it, too. He said the readers basically want to know that the ARRB will do everything it can to complete its mandate. (On the side, he said people want, for example, to be reassured that Jack isn't going to leave to run for the Senate.) Just wanted to update you. Tom, he would like to talk to you about this on Monday.

Body:
recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: