

**NR\_key\_name:** 5A87AD0273D0F711852563C400513B01  
**SendTo:** CN=David Marwell/O=ARRB @ ARRB  
**CopyTo:** CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB @ ARRB  
**DisplayBlindCopyTo:**  
**BlindCopyTo:** CN=R ecord/O=ARRB  
**From:** CN=Tim Wray/O=ARRB  
**DisplayFromDomain:**  
**DisplayDate:** 10/15/1996  
**DisplayDate\_Time:** 11:06:38 AM  
**ComposedDate:** 10/15/1996  
**ComposedDate\_Time:** 10:47:15 AM  
**Subject:** Letter from Jerry Nashorn

You recently received a letter from Jerry Nashorn (NARA) dated October 9 concerning disposition of the microfilm records from the Pentagon Telecommunications Agency. (This is the collection we've exploited to gather relevant Pentagon messages from 1963-4.) This collection filmed every document twice: once on "chronological" reels that list all incoming/outgoing messages in the order they were sent/received, then again by "place" according to the agency from which the message was received or to which it was addressed. Because of the Board's interest in these records, and because I and other members of the Board staff had recently worked with them, Nashorn previously asked my advice on whether I thought it necessary to retain both sets of microfilm. Of the two sets, the most useful for general research purposes is the "place" file, and so I concur in his recommendation that NARA retain only that set and dispose of the other. (I recommended, however, that NARA keep the chronological "logs" that list all incoming/outgoing documents by time/title/message number as a finding aid. He intends to do that.)Bottom Line: From my perspective, I think you can sign the concurrence line on his letter and return it to him.

**Body:**  
**recstat:** Record  
**DeliveryPriority:** N  
**DeliveryReport:** B  
**ReturnReceipt:**  
**Categories:**