

**NR\_key\_name:** 654E6C53C62C2D7A852564050065554D  
**SendTo:** CN=David Marwell/O=ARRB @ ARRB  
**CopyTo:** CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB @ ARRB  
**DisplayBlindCopyTo:**  
**BlindCopyTo:** CN=R ecord/O=ARRB  
**From:** CN=Joan Zimmerman/O=ARRB  
**DisplayFromDomain:**  
**DisplayDate:** 12/19/1996  
**DisplayDate\_Time:** 1:54:22 PM  
**ComposedDate:** 12/19/1996  
**ComposedDate\_Time:** 1:26:50 PM  
**Subject:** letter to Vezeris

I note that you have added a thank you to Vezeris for pointing something out to us. She might interpret this as sarcasm, and I think it would be best to leave it out. Her letter to us of October 25 was quite vituperative, and it contained a number of errors on her part. She insisted that addresses had been opened in documents she had never seen. In fact, she had seen them and had informed us in January that the addresses in them could be released. In October she was insisting that we go to the Archives and close them. I pointed out this discrepancy to John Machado, and he carried copies of her letters of January 4 and 5 (which I had to provide for him) back to headquarters. Her October 25 letter also accused the Board of releasing Social Security Numbers when in fact the Federal Register notice clearly cited the postponements as SSNs. Her letter of December 11 (which included the wrong version of the document she had originally sent to us August 9) is hardly a gracious apology for her failure to review her own correspondence to us before flying off the handle. The one remaining address appeared in a document that had been released by the Archives in 1993, which I pointed out to her in the September 26 letter. So you may wish to reconsider thanking Vezeris for pointing something out to us. I think it would be best simply to inform her that the change will be noticed the Federal Register.

**Body:**  
**recstat:** Record  
**DeliveryPriority:** N  
**DeliveryReport:** B  
**ReturnReceipt:**  
**Categories:**