NR_key_name: SendTo:	35FB2E6E151E334085256417004DCF83 jrtunheim @ aol.com @ internet @ worldcom
СоруТо:	
DisplayBlindCopyTo: BlindCopyTo:	CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From:	CN=David Marwell/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:	
DisplayDate:	01/06/1997
DisplayDate_Time:	9:10:28 AM
ComposedDate:	01/06/1997
ComposedDate_Time:	9:09:54 AM
Subject:	Re: Next Week's Meeting
Body: recstat: DeliveryPriority:	To: David_Marwell @ JFK-ARRB.GOV (David Marwell) @ internetcc: (bcc: David Marwell/ARRB)From: ANELSON @ american.edu ("Anna K. Nelson") @ Internet @ WORLDCOM Date: 01/04/97 06:23:30 PM CSTSubject: Re: Next Week's MeetingDavid, Two items: Is there no material for us to consider at the nextmeeting? I thought the LBJ Library material was to be ready by Jan.There is not much that is controversial, as I recall. At the last meeting our discussion centered on whether or not there wasany support for another year from various agencies, congress, etc. Don't youthink we should take some time to decided whether we wish to continue evenif we saw some support? There are ramifications of a fourth year we mightwant to consider. If nothing else expectations of what we can accomplish willbe much greater. And, of course, do we want to spend the time & energy it willrequire to gain that extra year. I would like for you to set aside some timefor us to discuss pros and cons and, in general, trade views. Anna Record N
DeliveryReport:	В
ReturnReceipt:	
Categories:	