

**NR\_key\_name:** 99F157BA6D76B72885256434006F5CDE  
**SendTo:** CN=Phil Golrick/O=ARRB @ ARRB  
**CopyTo:**  
**DisplayBlindCopyTo:**  
**BlindCopyTo:** CN=R ecord/O=ARRB  
**From:** CN=Kevin Tiernan/O=ARRB  
**DisplayFromDomain:**  
**DisplayDate:** 02/04/1997  
**DisplayDate\_Time:** 3:25:09 PM  
**ComposedDate:** 02/04/1997  
**ComposedDate\_Time:** 3:16:23 PM  
**Subject:** after vote consent release

Phil, my initial thought was that we should have a way of recording this in the archive database. But upon writing it out, I am not convinced it matters. Do you think I should send the message below to David/Chet?The bureau often releases documents in full after the board has voted to postpone them in part. This usually happens because they discover an inadvertant release of a symbol number or name in another document. Debbie just releases the document in full with the other documents from the same meeting, and we act as if it is a consent release and it doesn't need a final determination form. It stays in the archive with the board vote in place, but when the bureau updates their database, of course in becomes a '512'. Do we care about this situation? It usually comes up in three or four documents a meeting.

**Body:**  
**recstat:** Record  
**DeliveryPriority:** N  
**DeliveryReport:** B  
**ReturnReceipt:**  
**Categories:**