NR key name: E9C3D304ADDCB80885256457007007E9

SendTo: CN=David Marwell/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=Chet Rhodes/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo: CN=Laura Denk/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=Kevin Tiernan/O=ARRB @ ARRB

DisplayBlindCopyTo:

BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Phil Golrick/O=ARRB

DisplayFromDomain:

DisplayDate:03/11/1997DisplayDate_Time:3:39:58 PMComposedDate:03/11/1997ComposedDate_Time:3:23:41 PM

Subject: FBI-related computer housekeeping

(1) In the not-open FBI database, there is a view restriction called "exclude HSCA." The logic of this restriction is driven by the middle part of the RIF number (the disk number), in that there are certain disks that only contain RIFs for HSCA subjects, and RIFs from these disks are excluded from these views. However, since Chet created these views, a number of new (higher-number) disks of RIFs have been added to our database. These disks also contain RIFs from HSCA subjects. It would greatly assist the FBI team if Chet could update the logic of the view restrictions, so that these higher-number disks are excluded from the "exclude HSCA" views. Kevin can give Chet the information about which new disk numbers need to be excluded. I mentioned this in passing to Chet once before, but this is the first time I've made a written request.(2) It has been a month since the "lookup" macro was run, which updates the RIFs so that the "not in any database" view restrictions in the not-open FBI database are current and accurate. As I understand, all concerned have agreed for a long time that we should adopt standard procedures under which this macro is routinely run at one-week or two-week intervals. In fact, however, this simply has not happened: the macro has only been run at my specific request. I have asked Kevin to run the macro tonight -- but can we please just run it routinely at frequently, specified

Body: intervals? These views may do more harm than good if they reflect outdated information.

recstat: Record

DeliveryPriority: N

DeliveryReport: B

ReturnReceipt: Categories: