NR_key_name:
 39E31D2A804FFDB18525647D007336B1

 SendTo:
 CN=Chet Rhodes/O=ARRB @ ARRB

CopyTo:

DisplayBlindCopyTo:

BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Bob Skwirot/O=ARRB

DisplayFromDomain:

DisplayDate: 04/18/1997
DisplayDate_Time: 4:58:49 PM
ComposedDate: 04/18/1997
ComposedDate_Time: 4:58:26 PM
Subject: Fast Track

To: Chetcc: From: Bob Skwirot/ARRB Date: 04/16/97 04:35:36 PMSubject: Fast TrackSome more thoughts on making the system more efficient: -When the analyst saves the document, there could be an option of either going back to the main view or opening another RIF. This would save a number of clicks on the mouse. Right now it is necessary to make four clicks 1) SAVE it; 2) EXIT the view; 3) COMPOSE a new 4) RIF. -If the choice of the main view is taken, saving would both save the record and drop us back into the main view at the point where that document is located. -If reviewing another document is selected, the record would be saved and then a blank Fast Track form would appear. -Since there is a limited amount of information for each record, most of that could appear in the main view. This would allow a quick survey of the information entered. -It would help if we add some sort of fail-safe system that doesn't allow the analyst to save the RIF if one of the essential fields is not completed. -I'm concerned about key errors in entering the RIF numbers. We should talk about some way to address these. One possibility might be some sort of warning to indicate if the analyst has not entered the standard 13 characters for the RIF number. This guards against a most basic error. But an override should be possible to allow the review of records that do not yet have RIF numbers but do have other unique identification numbers. -Note that the Fast Track database has not, as of Wednesday, extracted the RIF information from the other databases.

Body: information from the other databases.

recstat: Record

DeliveryPriority: N

DeliveryReport: B

ReturnReceipt: Categories: