NR_key_name:	07F15D513BF5BD76852564810059B4F5
SendTo:	CN=David Marwell/O=ARRB @ ARRB
СоруТо:	CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB @ ARRB
DisplayBlindCopyTo:	
BlindCopyTo:	CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From:	CN=Douglas Horne/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:	
DisplayDate:	04/22/1997
DisplayDate_Time:	12:19:47 PM
ComposedDate:	04/22/1997
ComposedDate_Time:	
Subject:	Perceived Anomalies in CD-ROM Images of Zapruder Film
	As a follow-on to our discussion this morning re: my April 21, 1997 memo this subject, I called Alan Lewis at
	NARA to discuss the likelihood of seeing apparent artifacts that tiny on the actual 8 mm film, using a
	magnification loupe as we have in the past. He said that his loupe is "5 X" magnification, and that he does not
	know anyone in the building who has a more powerful one. I asked him whether he had ever seen a
	microscope used to examine frames from an 8 mm film, and he said no. I asked him if he knew of, or had
	access to a microscope, and he said no. He then speculated that the film preservation department may have
	one.He thought that the best procedure (short of digitization) for determining conclusively whether the
	artifacts seen on the CD-ROM are on the original film would be to examine selected 4" X 5" slides made last
	month by McCrone Associates for the LMH Company at NARA. I concur that this should be our next step.Do I
	have your permission to call Jamie Silverberg on this matter and request a viewing, or should I wait until this
	week's proceedings are over vis-a-vis the Review Board?Alan and I agreed to "regroup" and try to find a
	microscope (and attempt to examine the original), if for some reason the McCrone/LMH slides are not
	available. He and I both feel, based on past viewing experiences, that trying to determine whether artifacts
	this small are present on the original film, using only a 5-power loupe, would not yield good results, and would
Body:	therefore not be conclusive.
recstat:	Record
DeliveryPriority:	Ν
DeliveryReport:	В
ReturnReceipt:	
Categories:	
Carebonics.	