

NR_key_name: 84E2FC9D10E0BA28852564BF005652B3
SendTo: CN=Tracy Shycoff/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo: CN=David Marwell/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=Eileen Sullivan/O=ARRB @ ARRB
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Tom Samoluk/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 06/23/1997
DisplayDate_Time: 11:43:32 AM
ComposedDate: 06/23/1997
ComposedDate_Time: 11:42:53 AM
Subject: Re: Revamped Senate Subcommittee Q & A
I was not sure this went through.To: Tracy Shycoff/ARRBcc: David Marwell/ARRB, Eileen Sullivan/ARRBFrom: Tom Samoluk/ARRBDate: 06/23/97 11:36:43 AMSubject: Re: Revamped Senate Subcommittee Q & ATracy: Yes. I think Jack's statement and the Q&A should be stamped "draft" and sent over to OMB, so we don't run into timing problems with them.I spoke with Tammy Perrin from our Senate Appropriations Subcommittee and told her where we were in the process. I briefly described our format for responding to the questions and she was fine with it.Tom To: Tom Samoluk/ARRBcc: David Marwell/ARRB, Eileen Sullivan/ARRBFrom: Tracy Shycoff/ARRBDate: 06/20/97 03:36:06 PMSubject: Re: Revemped Senate Subcommittee Q & ATom,David and I have both looked at this new version and think that it sounds good. Should we shoot for Monday to send the whole package to OMB?
Body:
recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: