

NR_key_name: 0643B93917F6A1608525651C004865B4
SendTo: CN=Tom Samoluk/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo:
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=David Marwell/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 09/24/1997
DisplayDate_Time: 9:10:52 AM
ComposedDate: 09/24/1997
ComposedDate_Time: 9:10:46 AM
Subject: Re: Suggested Talking Points Regarding Hersh and Allegations Regarding Documents

To: David_Marwell @ jfk-arrrb.govcc: (bcc: David Marwell/ARRB)From: hall.409 @ osu.edu @ INTERNET @ INTERLIANT Date: 09/24/97 07:41:23 AM MSTSubject: Re: Suggested Talking Points Regarding Hersh and Allegations Regarding DocumentsDavid, thanks. I did not talk to Grove and really want nothing to do with this matter. If colleagues think they have keener insights, then so be it. Thanks for the talking points. Best, Kermit
At 03:07 PM 9/23/97 -0400, you wrote:>>>To: David Marwell/ARRB>cc:>From: Tom Samoluk/ARRB>Date: 09/23/97 02:04:25 PM>Subject: Suggested Talking Points Regarding Hersh and Allegations> Regarding Documents>>I am forwarding this message from Tom. As I suggested might happen, several>members of the Board have been contacted by Lloyd Grove of the Washington>Post concerning the Cusack story. As you know, I declined to help Grove.>Apparently he was more successful in getting information from members of>the Board. It is my strong recommendation that any future calls from>Grove, or any other member of the press, be forwarded to Tom or Eileen.>>>David:>>Per our conversation and your edits to the first draft of the talking>points, the following are the suggested talking points if we get more>specific inquiries regarding the documents:>>1. After receiving some credible information, the Board made a>preliminary review of records that had been brought to our attention as>potentially relevant to our work.>>2. At nearly the same time as the preliminary review, the Board received>information casting doubt on the authenticity of the records.>>3. No determination had been made by the Board relative to the documents.>>4. At this time, no additional steps are planned by the Board relative to>these records.>>Should the caller question what the Board was doing reviewing these>records, the following statement with some background can be used:>>This review is consistent with the Board's mandate from the Congress to>pursue records in private hands that may enrich the historical record>surrounding the assassination and consistent with previous efforts we had>made in the pursuit of private records. Examples of some of these efforts>relate to the Garrison records, Clay Shaw's personal papers, and J. Lee>Rankin's files.>>As I mentioned, I believe that the Board should speak with one voice on>this matter. There will probably be more calls. The calls should be>directed to me, or Eileen, at the Board, for a discussion and determination>on how and who will respond.>>Tom>>>>>Kermit L. HallDean, College of HumanitiesProfessor of History and LawThe Ohio State University186 University Hall230 North Oval MallColumbus, Ohio 43210-1319hall.409@osu.edu

Body:
recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: