NR_key_name:	90284464ACEFFF9A852565B60077AB45
SendTo:	CN=Carrie Fletcher/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo:	
DisplayBlindCopyTo:	
BlindCopyTo:	CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From:	CN=Laura Denk/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:	
DisplayDate:	02/25/1998
DisplayDate_Time:	4:47:13 PM
ComposedDate:	02/25/1998
ComposedDate_Time:	4:47:06 PM
Subject:	Joseph Shimon
Body:	To: Jeremy Gunn/ARRBcc: From: Laura Denk/ARRB Date: 02/25/98 04:44:59 PMSubject: Joseph ShimonAs I'm sure you recall, at the Board's last meeting, we presented to the Board six documents from the FBI's "see" reference file on Joseph Shimon and recommended that 78 of 95 pages be designated "NBR." Our recommendation was based on the fact that Shimon was only mentioned in these records because he attended a party that was hosted by the subject of the file. The Board accepted the staff recommendation to designate the pages "NBR."Carrie has located another document from the Shimon file that has the same characteristics as the six documents we presented to the Board. Again, Shimon's name is only mentioned in the document because he attended this one party hosted by an individual in whom the FBI had an investigative interest. This document is 11 pages long -- 3 pages mention Shimon and Carrie negotiated those. The remaining 8 pages do not mention Shimon and should be designated NBR for the same reasons that the other 78 pages were NBR. Normally, Carrie would have presented this record at the same time that we presented the other six records, but the record was not present in the file at the time that Carrie negotiated the file. How would you like us to handle this? I would like to simply write an addendum to the NBR memo that I wrote for the six documents and explain that we have found a seventh document that should be treated in the same way as the other six that the Board voted on in Feb. What do you think?
recstat:	Record
DeliveryPriority:	N
DeliveryReport:	B
ReturnReceipt:	
Categories:	