

**NR\_key\_name:** 645D3F45BE1628DB852565D000500ED3

**SendTo:** CN=Douglas Horne/O=ARRB @ ARRB

**CopyTo:** CN=Eileen Sullivan/O=ARRB @ ARRB

**DisplayBlindCopyTo:**

**BlindCopyTo:** CN=R ecord/O=ARRB

**From:** CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB

**DisplayFromDomain:**

**DisplayDate:** 03/23/1998

**DisplayDate\_Time:** 9:34:43 AM

**ComposedDate:** 03/23/1998

**ComposedDate\_Time:** 9:34:27 AM

**Subject:** Re: JFK  
Could you pursue the lead: TO: Jeremy Gunn/ARRBCC. FROM: Eileen Sullivan/ARRBDate: 03/23/98 09:33:20  
AMSubject: Re: JFKBelow is a note from Mr. [Screened by: NARA RD-F on October 24, 2016 according to the John F. Kennedy Records Collection Act of 1992, 44 U.S.C. 2107, Section 6(3)] -- remember him? Any input for a response would be appreciated. Thank you!To: Eileen\_sullivan @ jfk-arrr.govcc: (bcc: Eileen Sullivan/ARRB)From: [Screened by: NARA RD-F on October 24, 2016 according to the John F. Kennedy Records Collection Act of 1992, 44 U.S.C. 2107, Section 6(3)] Date: 03/21/98 03:27:17 PMSubject: JFK Dear Eileen, ( if I may) I am writing to see if you would please contact me concerning the subjectof the autopsy photographs of JFK-most notably, the one that supposedlydoesnt exist. Isn't there SOMETHING I can do to help you locate this photograph? Ifnothing is being done about locating it-may I inquire as to why not?Does it make any difference that this photograph proves that the Zapruderfilm, X rays, and autopsy photos are all three compatible? This would rid usof the theroxy that each of these are "tampered with" by conspirators. Ibelieve that the very reason [Screened by: NARA RD-F on October 24, 2016 according to the John F. Kennedy Records Collection Act of 1992, 44 U.S.C. 2107, Section 6(3)] won't cough it up is that if it isreleased-to the public- then it is going to be analyzed by officials who canthen compare it to the X-rays and the Zapruder film and show point for pointthat they all match and all show the head wound in the same place-in the frontop portion of the head. As we know, this assassination "conspiracy" is hisand a lot of others' bread and butter.Someone needs to get to the bottom of this.I recently spoke with the person who took the photograph of [Screened by: NARA RD-F on October 24, 2016 according to the John F. Kennedy Records Collection Act of 1992, 44 U.S.C. 2107, Section 6(3)].I asked him if he would cooperate with your board if need be. He said he hadno problem with that. His name is [Screened by: NARA RD-F on October 24, 2016 according to the John F. Kennedy Records Collection Act of 1992, 44 U.S.C. 2107, Section 6(3)]. The email address I havefor him is : [Screened by: NARA RD-F on October 24, 2016 according to the John F. Kennedy Records Collection Act of 1992, 44 U.S.C. 2107, Section 6(3)]. I hope he hasnt changed it.We talked a few months ago, and he was trying to locate the drawings we bothmade of that particular photograph. He would most definitely shed some morelight on this subject. He went back to visit with [Screened by: NARA RD-F on October 24, 2016 according to the John F. Kennedy Records Collection Act of 1992, 44 U.S.C. 2107, Section 6(3)] several other timesafter our initial visit. He can give you some details about the photo inquestion.All I ask is that you please do not overlook this aspect of the investigation.[Screened by: NARA RD-F on October 24, 2016 according to the John F. Kennedy Records Collection Act of 1992, 44 U.S.C. 2107, Section 6(3)] is very hostile towards me, but this doesnt't

**Body:**  
**recstat:** Record

**DeliveryPriority:** N

**DeliveryReport:** B

**ReturnReceipt:**

**Categories:**