NR_key_name:	52D4286A1F184A70852565FC00496A0D
SendTo:	CN=Kevin Tiernan/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo:	
DisplayBlindCopyTo:	
BlindCopyTo:	CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From:	CN=Laura Denk/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:	
DisplayDate:	05/06/1998
DisplayDate_Time:	9:49:15 AM
ComposedDate:	05/06/1998
ComposedDate_Time:	9:21:53 AM
Subject:	I know that you're going to hate this e-mail, but here goes. . .

Body:

I am writing a letter to Collingwood today to raise the problem with the transfer of records, but also to confirm that we will complete the list of duties that Carol asked us to complete so that the FBI can finish its work on time. For the most part, I can take care of the list and respond to them about stuff we have done/will do, but I am definitely going to have to pass along to you one major part involving the core files. Here is what Carol wrote: "Prepare a list of core/related documents with type of postponement note (1,2, etc. . .) never presented as green issues or without Board determination. The list will identify documents for the FBI and Board of what is left from core/related files to be addressed."Here are my thoughts on what the list should/should not include(1) We do not need to include in the list those documents that have only IRS postponements remaining. (Restriction code = 1024.) I think that a number of documents with those postponements would be sufficient. (2) We do not need to include in the list those documents that have the mandatory review and "other work copies" codes (usu. 4096 and 12288). Again, a number of documents with that code will be fine. (The whole mandatory review issue is a recommendation in another part of the list that I will complete.)(3) My notes indicate that some of the docs that are left are listed as having "0" restrictions. A number of the records on discs 190-191 have this problem. Hopefully, it is just a clerical error, but we should figure out a way to check those.(4) I remember that a bunch of records listed in the database are "see" refs and I think that we should list those. Did we ever deal with the "see" reference files in a systematic way? I pray that the answer is "yes," but if we didn't, we will presumably run into quite a few green issues that we can just barrel through.(5) More stuff from my notes -- there are a lot of "2048" restriction codes, which mean "not a/r." I hope these are all Church/Pike/Rockefeller, etc. . . which we are dealing with in other parts of Carol's list. To the extent that these ARE from Church/Pike/Rockefeller, etc. . ., we do NOT need to include them in the list. A number of these records would be good, though. To be safe, we should make sure that there are no other "2048" codes from regular "core and related" files.(6) We do need to include foreign records in the list, so that we can deal with those.(7) We do need to identify any referrals that have not come back so that we can get them back.We did NOT promise Carol that we would give her this list by 6/1/98, but for our own good, I don't think we can put it on the back burner. I think that we should give them a date that we will get the list to them, but I don't know what the date should be? Given that I am going to have to entrust the bulk of the work on this thing to you (since you understand the computer and I don't), what date would you like to give them?

recstat:	Record
DeliveryPriority:	N
DeliveryReport:	B
ReturnReceipt:	
Categories:	