

NR_key_name: EA2596F882DF0FC68525661600742CBD

SendTo: CN=Peter Voth/O=ARRB @ ARRB

CopyTo:

DisplayBlindCopyTo:

BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB

From: CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB

DisplayFromDomain:

DisplayDate: 06/01/1998

DisplayDate_Time: 5:09:28 PM

ComposedDate: 06/01/1998

ComposedDate_Time: 5:08:56 PM

Subject: Final report findings and recommendations
Please let me know if you can easily open the attached document. To: Jeremy_Gunn @ jfk-arrb.govcc. anelson @ American.edu (Anna Nelson), JRTUNHEIM @ aol.com (Jack Tunheim), hall.409 @ osu.edu (Kermit Hall), preshist @ aol.com (Henry F. Graff) (bcc: Jeremy Gunn/ARRB) From: Bill Joyce <wljoyce @ phoenix.Princeton.EDU> @ INTERNET @ INTERLIANT Date: 06/01/98 08:15:25 PM GMT Subject: Final report findings and recommendations
Jeremy: Enclosed is my electronic response to the questions that you faxed to us recently. I'm sending the document as an attachment and as an e-textfile in the event that you cannot access the document as an attachment. I might also fax it to you, but sending it this way permits me to send copies to my Review Board colleagues. I hope this is useful, and that it is what you might have been looking for. See you on Thursday. --Bill
05/21/98 THU 09:36 FAX 202 724 0457 ARRB Bill Joyce
MEMORANDUM
May 21, 1998
To: Review Board
From: Jeremy Gunn
Subject: Final Report Findings and Recommendations
At the last meeting, the Board requested that I circulate a notice in preparation for its June 4 discussion of the Final Report chapter on Findings and Recommendations. I have identified below some of the potential topic areas where the Board may wish to consider making recommendations. After reviewing these areas, please send to our offices potential findings and recommendations that you think the Board should consider at the June 4 meeting. I would recommend, at this point, that you identify as many different potential recommendations as you can and that you let the discussion be the place for winnowing and refining. Your suggestions need be nothing more than written bullet points suggesting topics for the agenda. Please return your written suggestions to me by Tuesday, June 2, at 12:00 noon so that we can collate your responses and fax a working draft of points back to you by June 3.
Topics
What are the most important lessons that have been learned?
n that a legislative mandate from Congress is essential
n that the legislation must contain provisions enforceable by sanctions
n that any reviewing body should be independent of the classifiers and the custodians of classified information
-2- Was it worth doing?
n It was worth doing as it identifies a model for declassifying controversial (federal) records
Could it have been done without having an independent agency oversee the process?
n I think it would not have been without independent agency involvement
What were the biggest obstacles?
n The biggest obstacles were that: agencies did not respect the Board and the process that Congress outlined, the legislation did not allow sufficient time for the Board to organize itself and for the work to be completed, the Board may have been too distracted by peripheral issues such as the medical evidence, the Garrison Grand Jury records, and private papers, among others, that deflected attention and energy from the records of those federal agencies most involved.
How could the JFK Act have worked better?
n The JFK Act should have
Record
Body:
recstat:
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: