

**NR\_key\_name:** 4F43A94D5BEA65208525661D0054B517  
**SendTo:** CN=Laura Denk/O=ARRB @ ARRB  
**CopyTo:**  
**DisplayBlindCopyTo:**  
**BlindCopyTo:** CN=R ecord/O=ARRB  
**From:** CN=Douglas Horne/O=ARRB  
**DisplayFromDomain:**  
**DisplayDate:** 06/08/1998  
**DisplayDate\_Time:** 11:25:24 AM  
**ComposedDate:** 06/08/1998  
**ComposedDate\_Time:** 11:25:14 AM  
**Subject:** Re: ONI Compliance with the JFK Act

FYA.To: Douglas Horne/ARRBcc: Jeremy Gunn/ARRB, Jim Goslee/ARRBFrom: Ron Haron/ARRBDate: 06/05/98 06:31:39 PMSubject: Re: ONI Compliance with the JFK ActDoug -- I appreciate your point that ONI has searched what we have targeted them to search. However, ONI itself says that its search "remain[s] incomplete" with respect to 25,000 archival boxes in the Washington area. The Final Declaration thus suggests that there is a large body of RG289 (ONI) records that have not yet been looked at, inventoried, or screened at all. It seems to me we need some assurance that they do (or did) some kind of screening of these files (e.g., by reviewing the SF-135 forms). As it now stands, it is unclear whether ONI made any preliminary determination as to the general identity or subject matter of these ONI records (including whether these records might contain files of the ONI Director). If, however, ONI actually did make some preliminary survey (but simply did not articulate that fact in the Final Declaration), then we should have that point clarified. Therefore, I suggest that I call LCDR Bastien and simply ask him whether the 25,000 archive boxes were surveyed in any manner under the JFK Act . I would also ask him how ONI determined that there are no identifiable 1959-64 files for the ONI Director. --Ron

**Body:**  
**recstat:** Record  
**DeliveryPriority:** N  
**DeliveryReport:** B  
**ReturnReceipt:**  
**Categories:**