

NR_key_name: 59340A44E34A13ED8525663B0047AA9C

SendTo: CN=Cathy Rodriguez/O=ARRB @ ARRB

CopyTo:

DisplayBlindCopyTo:

BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB

From: CN=Douglas Horne/O=ARRB

DisplayFromDomain:

DisplayDate: 07/08/1998

DisplayDate_Time: 9:03:22 AM

ComposedDate: 07/08/1998

ComposedDate_Time: 9:02:47 AM

Subject: Re: Questions
P.S. PLEASE PRINT THIS OUT TWICE. MY EMAIL IS ASSIGNED TO A PRINTER THAT DOES NOT EXIST NOW. TO: JOSEPH
Freeman/ARRBcc: From: Douglas Horne/ARRBDate: 07/08/98 09:02:18 AMSubject: Re: QuestionsJoe, I'm
going to provide, in bold print, the best answers I can below each of your questions>To: Dougcc: From: Joseph
Freeman/ARRB Date: 07/07/98 07:42:15 PMSubject: QuestionsPicked up Groden's Search for Oswald for
\$5.99 today.Only answer if you have the time (but let me know if you don't):Was a record generated by
Humes/Boswell review of autopsy photos/x-rays on 11/1/66? If so, was it out before the JFK Act? Yes, 2
records: an undated draft inventory or catalogue (presumably typed from notes taken on Nov 1); and a
smooth (modified) inventory signed by the participants on November 10, 1966--so the actual, useful date on
the DOCUMENT is Nov 10, 1966, although the examination took place on Nov 1, 1966. (It was Humes, Boswell,
Ebersole, and Stringer.)Did the Military Review (is that the short-hand term?) of 1/20/67 create a
report/records, and when were any such records released (ie, prior to JFK Act, or after)?Yes--"Military Review"
review is the short-hand, vernacular term used within the research community. The document has no title.
The examination was conducted on 1/20/67 by Humes, Boswell and Finck (who had flown for 20 hours back
from Vietnam to do this, and who met without any rest), but interestingly enough, the document was not
signed by them until 1/26/67. The report (which reads like it is written by attorneys) attempts to reconcile the
photographs cataloged by Humes and Boswell in Nov 1966 with their written autopsy conclusions in the
autopsy report.Are there any other records other than the Report itself (released 1/16/69, right?) generated
by the Clark Panel of 2/26-27/68, and if so, had they been released prior to JFK Act?I just do not know whether
there are/were any Clark Panel working papers, or not; or if so, their disposition. Sorry. I don't know what the
release date was, but the examination of materials was conducted on Feb 26 and 27, 1968; the four
pathologists signed it on different dates:Mar 28; Mar 28; Apr 6; and Apr 9.Is it correct to say, as the HSCA
does, that these latter two prior examinations were not concerned, per se, with the authentication of the x-
rays and photos? Relative to 11/1/66, though, were Humes and Boswell authenticating, or just helping NARA
organize the materials?None of the above mentioned examinations was concerned with authentication per se,
although during the initial cataloging in November, Boswell initialled the envelopes, and collectively Boswell,
Humes, Ebersole and Stringer tried to describe what each view showed. (Trouble is, later Humes, Boswell and
Stringer all claim photos are missing.)The above I need for Chapter 1. The questions below are just for
curiosity's sake and don't need to be answered unless you have nothing better to do.Is Osborne (held a bullet
at the autopsy) still alive, and did we ever talk to him about his alleged handling of that "record"?He's dead. So-
Record

Body:

recstat: N

DeliveryPriority: N

DeliveryReport: B

ReturnReceipt:

Categories: