NR_key_name:	A059AB21C5B2AC8585256648007E05FF
SendTo:	Laura
СоруТо:	
DisplayBlindCopyTo:	
BlindCopyTo:	
From:	CN=Joseph Freeman/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:	
DisplayDate:	07/21/1998
DisplayDate_Time:	7:02:34 PM 07/21/1998
ComposedDate: ComposedDate_Time:	
Subject:	Chapter 1 Draft ready
Subject.	Don't know now much of any of this you want/need to see. No need to reply. To. Jeremytt. From. Joseph
	Freeman/ARRB Date: 07/16/98 11:08:32 PMSubject: Chapter 1 Draft readyl just decided to get it done; I don't
	know how badly the quality will have suffered, but you might throw it out anyway, so what the heck. I will
	forward it in a separate e-mail (I don't know how to put in the T-drive and all that stuff, especially from here; I
	might blow it up), but there are various things to worth noting or keeping in mind as you go through it. Not in
	order of importance:1) can't get my machine to do the squiggly lawyer symbol for "Section", despite advice
	from two lawyers on how it's done; I guess it must just be me2) still need the first Board meeting date for intro
	(Eileen and Tracy didn't know), as well as the the Board meeting date at which they unanimously (my text so assumes, anyway) extended their life and ours for a year (ditto on Eileen and Tracy, who have otherwise been
	great slueths for me maybe I'll ask Jerrie to check the minutes?) [Jerrie e-mailed 7/21]3) didn't know how
	you wished to be referred to: Dr., Esq., Supreme If Reluctant Potentate, whatever, so you can edit whatever
	you like in; I feel strongly the names of the Executive Directors should be in the intro w/the Board members
	[Laura, yours is in the latest draft, where it should stay]4) generally, I tried to keep the actual names of folks
	referenced out of the text proper, supplying them where appropriate in footnotes; that way I can quote
	authors (Mailer, or Lifton) or cite other dubious characters (Groden) as desired and necessary w/out giving
	them undue prominence5) I use the phrase "at the time of legislative consideration of the JFK Act" or
	something like it, several times, when I am citing statistics/figures from Congressional reports
	contemporaneous w/consideration of the legislation relative to numbers of assassinaton records in various
	places; since I don't know, say, what the actual number of FBI documents previously withheld but released
	since the Act is/will be, I fall back on what folks estmated it was back in '92. You'll see what I mean when you
	read the draft. Church Committee is a good example. Hearings cite 5,000 pages figure, but if I don't make it
	clear where I'm getting the figure from, I'll risk inaccuracy and misleading of readers, since I'm sure (I sure
	hope, that is) the final number will be a lot bigger than that I presume the real/final figures will show up in
	some other part of the report6) waiting on Steve Tilley for some confirmation of my understanding of NARA's review history of WC stuff; I'll let you know or amend later any corrective data he sends back to me [Steve's
	input received and incorporated as of 7/21]7) two of the '92 congressional reports reference that the HSCA's
	records were transferred/put in the custody of the House Intelligence Committee at the HSCA's termination.
	We all know that, at least from '92 on, they were in the custody of the House Administration (now House
Body:	Oversight) Committee. I don't know whether the '92 reports are just inaccurate (wouldn't be the first error I've
recstat:	Record
DeliveryPriority:	Ν
DeliveryReport:	В
ReturnReceint:	

ReturnReceipt: **Categories:**