

**NR\_key\_name:** 3DDB8C817AA1AC428525667F00790F05  
**SendTo:** CN=Laura Denk/O=ARRB @ ARRB  
**CopyTo:**  
**DisplayBlindCopyTo:**  
**BlindCopyTo:** CN=R ecord/O=ARRB  
**From:** CN=Ron Haron/O=ARRB  
**DisplayFromDomain:**  
**DisplayDate:** 09/14/1998  
**DisplayDate\_Time:** 6:02:24 PM  
**ComposedDate:** 09/14/1998  
**ComposedDate\_Time:** 6:02:17 PM  
**Subject:** from Dan Moll re: HUAC records  
TO: RON HARON/ARRBCC: FROM: EILEEN SULLIVAN/ARRBDate: 09/14/98 12:33:33 PMSubject: from Dan Moll re:  
HUAC recordsTo: "Eileen\_Sullivan@JFK-ARRB.GOV" <Eileen\_Sullivan @ JFK-ARRB.GOV>cc: (bcc: Eileen  
Sullivan/ARRB)From: "Moll Dan" <Dan.Moll @ mail.house.gov> @ INTERNET @ INTERLIANT Date: 09/14/98  
04:12:40 PM GMTSubject: FW: JFK ACT>----->From: Duncan, Tom>Sent: Thursday, September 10, 1998  
4:54 PM>To: Moll, Dan>Subject: RE: JFK ACT>>FYI-Charlie Johnson has advised Ray Strong that this office does  
not believe>that the JFK Act supercedes Rule XXXVI with respect to HUAC records.>Therefore that rule  
governs access to these records. As you can imagine, we>have been somewhat distracted by other issues.>-----  
>From: Moll, Dan>Sent: Tuesday, September 08, 1998 2:22 PM>To: Duncan, Tom>Subject: FW: JFK  
ACT>>Any progress on this issue???>>----->From: Moll, Dan>Sent: Monday, August 24, 1998 1:34 PM>To:  
Duncan, Tom>Cc: Johnson, Charles; Schacht, Diana>Subject: RE: JFK ACT>>I think it is important to understand  
some of the background of the Act.>When Dan Burton assumed the Chair of this Committee in January 1997,  
one of>the first issues he was presented with was the expiration of the JFK Board at>the end of FY 97 (prior to  
completion of its task). The Chairman felt>strongly that the concept of the original JFK Act was sound, and  
introduced a>bill to reauthorize the Act for only one year. The philosophy underlying the>original Act was that  
by making all relevant information available to the>public was the best way to respond to the continuing level  
of interest in the>Kennedy assassination, and was preferable to undertaking a new  
Congressional>investigation. The Chairman believed it was essential to allow the Board to>complete its work,  
which is to review and publicly release documents relating>to the assassination.>>Throughout the past year  
and a half we have encountered substantial>resistance from the various custodians of JFK records, including:  
FBI, CIA,>Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, Library of Congress, and the Clerk>of the House. The  
excuses have run the gamut and through a series of letters>(I will be happy to provide these for your review)  
and meetings we have>satisfactorily resolved each problem. The only remaining issue is the>resolution of the  
HUAC records.>>I would respectfully suggest that your office view this issue, not only in>terms of the law and  
House Rules, but also in the context of the public's>right to know and Congressional intent in the original act.  
Rule 36 states>that "A record shall not be made available for public use ... if the Clerk>determines that such  
availability would be detrimental to the public interest>...." I have trouble understanding how the release of  
some records from the>late 50's or early 60's regarding Lee Harvey Oswald, Marina Oswald, and the>Fair Play  
for Cuba Committee (pro-Castro) is detrimental to the public>interest.>>Please let me know if there is any  
further action I can take to help resolve>this matter.>> Dan> (x55074)> (x35922)>>>----->From: Duncan,  
Record  
**Body:**  
**recstat:**  
**DeliveryPriority:** N  
**DeliveryReport:** B  
**ReturnReceipt:**  
**Categories:**