

**NR\_key\_name:** D61E7A8D48ADB484852561B60069988A  
**SendTo:** CN=Christopher Barger/O=ARRB @ ARRB  
**CopyTo:**  
**DisplayBlindCopyTo:**  
**BlindCopyTo:** CN=R ecord/O=ARRB  
**From:** CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB  
**DisplayFromDomain:**  
**DisplayDate:** 05/08/1995  
**DisplayDate\_Time:** 3:13:51 PM  
**ComposedDate:** 05/08/1995  
**ComposedDate\_Time:** 3:13:23 PM  
**Subject:** Re: Brad Ayers interview

**Body:** I agree. We will be able to interview him later with a tape recorder if it is appropriate.  
**recstat:** Record  
**DeliveryPriority:** N  
**DeliveryReport:** B  
**ReturnReceipt:**  
**Categories:**

To: Jeremy Gunn/ARRBcc: Christopher Barger/ARRB Date: 05/08/95 02:53:07 PMSubject: Brad Ayers interview  
After consideration of the issues involved, I think it would be best NOT to record the interview with Brad Ayers. Given his views, if he were to begin stating things that are either untenable or unprovable, if it goes on tape, it becomes part of the record kept by the Review Board, and might be used someday to accuse the Board of having ignored key evidence or testimony. Of course, we don't want to censor anyone's opinion or draw any conclusion as to what might be fact or fiction: we want to take everybody's opinion into account. And, I would prefer for accuracy's sake to have a tape to refer to, either when compiling my report or in the future. However, in the long run, these concerns are superceded by the need to keep our record free of unsubstantiated rumor or hypotheses. Do you concur? Disagree?