

NR_key_name: 0255CFF77875381C852561E4005FC2CA
SendTo: CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo: CN=Joan Zimmerman/O=ARRB @ ARRB
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=David Marwell/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 06/23/1995
DisplayDate_Time: 1:26:24 PM
ComposedDate: 06/23/1995
ComposedDate_Time: 1:25:58 PM
Subject: Re: Identification aids: PRIVILEGED ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION. NOT TO BE DISCLOSED.

Body:
recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories:

I agree.
To: Joan Zimmerman/ARRB
Cc: David Marwell/ARRB
From: Jeremy Gunn/ARRB
Date: 06/23/95 11:06:30 AM
Subject: Re: Identification aids: PRIVILEGED ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION. NOT TO BE DISCLOSED.
I believe that our position should be:
First, for those documents that are released in full, the issue is one between NARA and the USSS and the Board has no position.
Second, for those documents not released in full, the USSS must either: (a) prepare RIFS (immediately); (b) delegate the power to prepare RIFS to NARA and that NARA must agree to do so; or (c) the documents will be released in full by the Board.
David: do you agree?
To: David Marwell/ARRB
Cc: Jeremy Gunn/ARRB
From: Joan Zimmerman/ARRB
Date: 06/23/95 10:55:00 AM
Subject: Identification aids
I remember a conversation I had with Steve Tilley several weeks ago about the Secret Service's records. As I recall, Steve said that the Secret Service insisted that the Archives was responsible for creating identification aids. Does the JFK Act require the Secret Service to create identification aids in Sec 5(d)(1)(A) and (B) or is the language ambiguous-- as the Secret Service claims?