

NR_key_name: AC2D9076F09BCE96852562C3007AF788
SendTo: CN=Noelle Gray/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo: CN=Kevin Tiernan/O=ARRB @ ARRB
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Phil Golrick/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 02/01/1996
DisplayDate_Time: 5:28:36 PM
ComposedDate: 02/01/1996
ComposedDate_Time: 5:23:08 PM
Subject: Re: 2 more items about documents
Re: your item 1: I have asked Kevin to do this.Re: your item 2: Just because 124-10112-10058 appears in the cross-reference field of the RIF for 124-10081-10224 does not necessarily mean that the two documents are "duplicates" for our review purposes. Unless and until someone from our staff verifies that the two are in fact "duplicates" (which has not been done), it would be a mistake to treat them as such. If the one is a duplicate of the other, we will just handle it appropriately at a later date. If there is some further question or concern on these documents, please let me know.
Body: To: Phil Golrick/ARRBcc: From: Noelle Gray/ARRB
recstat: Date: 02/01/96 03:07:23 PMSubject: 2 more items about documents1. Please complete the review of 124-10087-10328. You have only one postponement detail and there are suppose to be three.2. Also locate, review, and assign to the 1/31/96 meeting document 124-10112-10058. It is a duplicate of 124-10081-10224.
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: