NR_key_name:	16306989273FC39F852562EB0045FECE
SendTo:	CN=Chet Rhodes/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo:	CN=David Marwell/O=ARRB @ ARRB
DisplayBlindCopyTo:	
BlindCopyTo:	CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From:	CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:	
DisplayDate:	03/12/1996
DisplayDate_Time:	7:48:30 AM
ComposedDate:	03/12/1996
ComposedDate_Time:	7:44:32 AM
Subject:	Re: Approval of reviewed documents
Body:	My interim suggestion is to send both Joan and Joe's documents to Tim. In the long run, however, Joe's documents will be reviewed by analysts from appropriate teams. The HSCA's CIA records, for example, will be reviewed by CIA team members and sent to Mary. Etc. etc.I think it will be useful for Tim to get the experience working with the review track. Should Joan's records ultimately be voluminous (which I suspect they won't), then we could reconsider this arrangement.David: your thoughts?To:Jeremy Gunn/ARRBcc:David Marwell/ARRB From:Chet Rhodes/ARRB Date:03/11/96 07:26:08 PMSubject:Approval of reviewed documentsI am making the database more secure in who can send documents to the board for review.I need some guidance on the following.For most teams it is clear that the documents flow from a person to a team leader. For example: from Chris to Mary and then to the board.But what about Joan and Joe. Do they need to have their documents sent on to a second person for review? If so Whom..Thanks for your answer to this question.
recstat:	Record
DeliveryPriority:	N
DeliveryReport:	B
ReturnReceipt:	
Categories:	