

**Subject:** Re: Fwd: Re: Fwd: Judge Sues Over JFK Information: He wants CIA to answer questions on mystery man (fwd)  
 F.T. I have spoken to Judge Minier, who has agreed to send additional information to the review board. I  
 agreed to review it and follow up as appropriate. As far as I am concerned, this information can be  
 communicated to all of your e-friends.To: Jeremy Gunn/ARRB, Tom Samoluk/ARRBcc: From: David  
 Marwell/ARRB Date: 07/11/96 03:32:51 PMSubject: Fwd: Re: Fwd: Judge Sues Over JFK Information: He  
 wants CIA to answer questions on mystery man (fwd)To: David\_Marwell @ jfk-arrrb.gov @ internet,  
 Eileen\_Sullivan @ jfk-arrrb.gov @ internetcc: bcc: David Marwell/ARRB)From: Garb @ ix.netcom.com (Garby  
 Leon) @ internet @ WORLDCOM Date: 07/11/96 10:42:37 AM CDTSubject: Fwd: Re: Fwd: Judge Sues Over  
 JFK Information: He wants CIA to answer questions on mystery man (fwd)Dear Ms. Sullivan --Just wanted to  
 add this 'PS' to the Capehart article, sent to me by Lisa Pease of CTKA.Thanks for your attention; no  
 acknowledgment required for this one - just append to the previous notes if you can.Best,Garby Leon FROM  
 LISA PEASE, CTKA: Just for clarification - the earlier stories on Judge Minier and Capehart related that Capehart  
 claimed to be with Oswald AT the time of the assassination, providing Oswald an alibi, if true. So any records  
 on Capehart would be of SUBSTANTIAL importance to this case!! :)Lisa PeaseOn Tue, 9 Jul 1996, Garby  
 Leon wrote:> You wrote: > >> >Dear Mr. Leon:> >> >Thank you for your message. The Board has received  
 thousands of leads > >regarding the potential existence of assassination records. We carefully > >review all of  
 the information. The recent news article on Claude Barnes > >Capehart will be reviewed by the appropriate  
 Board staff members.> >> >The Review Board has not dealt with any records related to this individual, to >  
 >the best of my knowledge. As a matter of policy, the Board does not discuss > >specific communications with  
 other agencies relative to specific records in > >which we may be interested. > Thank you for your response,  
 but I didn't ask anything about "specific communications > with other agencies" -- I asked if you were going to  
 pursue information on Claude > Capehart at all, from anywhere, in general, and if in fact you were aware of  
 the > Minier case and the publicity and discussion surrounding it. > > It's the "...in which we may be  
 interested" part of your sentence that interests me. > Is the ARRB "interested" in pursuing Capehart  
 information? If that hasn't been > officially decided yet, when will we know what the decision is?> > You see,  
 from your note it kind of sounds like any ARRB disposition of this matter > will be shrouded in secrecy, perhaps  
 only to be made public at the end of the > process, when it will be too late for a citizen to respond, urge, or  
 object to the > ARRB's actions or lack thereof. That's why I'm asking for this information -- I and > many other  
 JFK students and researchers are highly interested in this case, and in > the ARRB disposition of it. We would  
 like to feel that the ARRB is responsive to > respectable suggestions, as this instance surely is. And we would

**Body:** Record

**recstat:**

**DeliveryPriority:** N

**DeliveryReport:** B

**ReturnReceipt:**

**Categories:**