NR_key_name: SendTo:	79E3CC2213EF76BF8525637100542B43 CN=Krista LaBelle/O=ARRB @ ARRB
СоруТо:	
DisplayBlindCopyTo:	
BlindCopyTo:	CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: DisplayFromDomain:	CN=Laura Denk/O=ARRB
Display From Domain: Display Date:	07/24/1996
DisplayDate. DisplayDate_Time:	11:21:42 AM
ComposedDate:	07/24/1996
ComposedDate_Time:	11:19:21 AM
Subject:	Re: The memo - once again
•	
	Hi Krista. I reviewed your new section, made some comments & changes & put it on a chair in your office. It
	looks good. I think that what you have done is concise & to the point. I did ask you to flesh out the analysis a
	bit just a few sentences in each sentence applying the statutory sections to the Review Board's particular
	fact situation should do it. I am not sure who is sitting where in there, so I hope you are able to find it. Thanks
	for your help!To:Laura Denk/ARRBcc: From:Krista LaBelle/ARRB Date:07/23/96 04:44:50
	PMSubject:The memo - once againHere is yet another section for your review. I think I once again over-
	researched the topic. I finally stopped writing the part outlining the full process of review under the FTCA b/c I
	wondered if it was too complex and if anybody cared. Basically, as far as I can tell the Board and the members
	will not be liable under the FTCA for the release of documents. However, the United States may be substituted as the defendant so it doesn't necessarily provide complete immunity. Should I analyze the U.S.'s
Body:	liability and what would be involved in a suit involving the Government?
recstat:	Record
DeliveryPriority:	N
DeliveryReport:	В
ReturnReceipt:	
Categories:	
0	