NR\_key\_name: 345E0316F71B01698525637700091060
SendTo: CN=Christopher Barger/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo: CN=David Marwell/O=ARRB @ ARRB

DisplayBlindCopyTo:

BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Chet Rhodes/O=ARRB

DisplayFromDomain:

DisplayDate:07/29/1996DisplayDate\_Time:9:39:40 PMComposedDate:07/29/1996ComposedDate\_Time:9:39:00 PM

**Subject:** Re: St. Louis database suggestions

What is our current status on this?ThanksTo:Chet Rhodes/ARRBcc:David Marwell/ARRB From:Christopher Barger/ARRB Date:07/19/96 04:17:41 PMSubject:St. Louis database suggestions have identified two new fields we will need in this database. The first is: "NPRC Found". This is because there are a number of records that we have requested of NPRC, but that have not been located by them to this point. The second is "ARRB Reviewed." This is because we have a number of records that have been located by NPRC personnel, but that ARRB staff have not yet reviewed. We need to know both of these things.Additionally, here is a challenge for you: Dave has a list of all St. Louis-connected names in his Excel file. We requested all those names of NPRC, but they have found only approximately 55-60% of those names. We expect and hope to be able to provide better finding aids in the future, allowing NPRC to track them down. So-- what I need to do is the following: take Dave's master list of all NPRC names; check it against the names already in the "St. Louis" database (which are all the names NPRC found), and add to the "St. Louis" database only those names which do not yet appear in the" St. Louis" database. Also, each new name that is pulled from Dave's master list into "St. Louis" should go in already marked "No" in the "NPRC Found" field. (Sounds like a macro to me.) Can this be done? Even if we can't do the second part, we still need the two fields I mentioned in the first paragraph. Thank you.

recstat: Record

DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B

ReturnReceipt: Categories:

Body: