NR_key_name:	07BA60F8396EA01C852563770068A9FF
SendTo:	CN=Phil Golrick/O=ARRB @ ARRB
	CN=Kevin Tiernan/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=Joan Zimmerman/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=Laura Denk/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=David Marwell/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=Bob Skwirot/O=ARRB @
CopyTo:	ARRB
DisplayBlindCopyTo:	
BlindCopyTo:	CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From:	CN=Chet Rhodes/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:	
DisplayDate:	07/30/1996
DisplayDate_Time:	3:04:58 PM
ComposedDate:	07/30/1996
ComposedDate_Time:	3:03:13 PM
Subject:	Re: scintillating reviewtrack advisory PART II
	Bob had added this at the request of the board.So the question is do we use "Name" or "Name of Person".I would like to delete one of them, we have been using "Name"Bob you might want to fill in some details from the meeting.To:Kevin Tiernan/ARRB, Joan Zimmerman/ARRB, Laura Denk/ARRBcc:Chet Rhodes/ARRB, Jeremy Gunn/ARRB, David Marwell/ARRB From:Phil Golrick/ARRB Date:07/30/96 09:09:32 AMSubject:scintillating reviewtrack advisoryIn the postponement detail form's substitute language "picklist," there is a new "name of person" option, in addition to the venerable "name" option. Although I'm sure there are valid non-FBI reasons for adding the "name of person" option, I propose that the substitute language for postponements of the names of FBI informants continue to be "name," rather than "name of person."An exposition of the reasons for sticking with "name," which range from the practical to the metaphysical, can be
Body:	provided upon request.
recstat:	Record
DeliveryPriority:	N
DeliveryReport:	B
ReturnReceipt:	
Categories:	