NR_key_name:	FDD3E9DDC6AC5B5185256396000A2770
SendTo:	CN=Eileen Sullivan/O=ARRB @ ARRB
СоруТо:	CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=Tom Samoluk/O=ARRB @ ARRB
DisplayBlindCopyTo:	
BlindCopyTo:	CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From:	CN=David Marwell/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:	
DisplayDate:	08/29/1996
DisplayDate_Time:	9:55:31 PM
ComposedDate:	08/29/1996
ComposedDate_Time:	9:50:55 PM
Subject:	Re: Paul Kangas
Body: recstat: DeliveryPriority: DeliveryReport: ReturnReceipt: Categories:	This guy sounds like he could be a problem. I assume that he is wrong about a cause of action under the Brown Act. Please let me know via e-mail what you think.To:Jeremy Gunn/ARRBcc:Tom Samoluk/ARRB, David Marwell/ARRB From:Eileen Sullivan/ARRB Date:08/29/96 03:36:13 PMSubject:Paul KangasPaul Kangas (San Franscisco private investigator) called today requesting to testify at the hearing in LA. I explained to him that we have our witnesses lined up and due to time constraints, must keep it as such. I told him he could submit any information he has to us, at which point he became irate, telling me he has written several times and never received a response. (I have since checked his file and we have responded to all of his correspondence.) He then went on to say that he will sue us for not letting him testify (under the California Brown Act, which states something about not allowing closed meetings.) I told him it is not a closed meeting, but a public hearing, etc. He then said if he does not hear from us about whether or not he can testify, and why, by Monday, he will sue.I thought I answered his questionbutIf you could please take a few minutes Friday morning to discuss this (how/if you want to respond) with me I would appreciate it. thanks!p.s. He also "threatened" to get Oliver Stone and the media involved (to ensure public comments are heard.) I did not tell him about our contacting Mr. Stone because 1) we have not gone public with the witness list and 2) I was not sure/comfortable with what he would do with the information. Record N B