

NR_key_name: FEA03D1FEC79FDDE852563A00003EC49
SendTo: CN=Phil Golrick/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo: CN=Kevin Tiernan/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=David Marwell/O=ARRB @ ARRB
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Chet Rhodes/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 09/08/1996
DisplayDate_Time: 8:45:19 PM
ComposedDate: 09/08/1996
ComposedDate_Time: 8:42:51 PM
Subject: Re: re reviewtrack 124-10178-10088

in the duplicate field you need to leave that blank, the word none had been typed in so the computer was looking for the document NONE. check it now and let me know if its ok.To:Chet Rhodes/ARRBcc:Kevin Tiernan/ARRB, David Marwell/ARRB From:Phil Golrick/ARRB Date:08/21/96 11:05:46 AMSubject:Re: reviewtrack 124-10178-10088Please take a look at this document. It is an imperfect duplicate that I tried to review as a separate, freestanding record with its own postponement details. I've entered the postponement details but, even after refreshing the RIF, we still have the language for looking up postponement detail information from the former trunk, but not the details created for this document. As we've talked about, we need to be able to manually split up packets to handle imperfect duplicates separately.Thanks for your help.

Body:
recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: