

NR_key_name: 71D2D9B575D64F96852563A3005CEA68
SendTo: kermith @ HUMANITIES1.COHUMS.OHIO-STATE.EDU @ Internet @ WORLDCOM
CopyTo:
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Tom Samoluk/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 09/12/1996
DisplayDate_Time: 1:02:40 PM
ComposedDate: 09/12/1996
ComposedDate_Time: 12:54:53 PM
Subject: Re: C-SPAN Negative Response on Coverage of L.A. Hearing

Kemit:Thank you for your message. Who knows ... maybe there will be some news coming out of the hearing. Stay tuned.Thanks for your efforts. See you on the left coast.TomTo:Tom_Samoluk @ jfk-arrrb.gov (Tom Samoluk) @ Internetcc:Tom Samoluk/ARRB)From:Kermith @ HUMANITIES1.COHUMS.OHIO-STATE.EDU @ Internet @ WORLDCOM Date:09/12/96 08:29:11 AM CDTSubject:Re: C-SPAN Negative Response on Coverage of L.A. Hearing Tom, thanks. I talked with Lamb when I got back form Spain and by then the decision had been made. The line seems to be that nothing much is happening and that the list of potential witnesses was uninteresting. Even Lamb, it seems, is looking for news! Thanks for your good efforts. See you in LA, Kermit_____ Reply Separator

Subject: C-SPAN Negative Response on Coverage of L.A.

HearingAuthor: Tom Samoluk <Tom_Samoluk@jfk-arrrb.gov> at SMTPLINK-HumanitiesDate: 9/10/96 6:16 AMKermith: I wanted you to know that Alan Speck of C-SPAN advised me today that C-SPAN did not plan on covering the L.A. hearing. I asked what the relevant factors were for them and he replied that one of the program producers did not think there would be enough interest in the hearing. I do not know if Brian Lamb has responded to you or not, but I thought I would let you know what I heard from them today. Thanks for helping me give it a shot. We tried. Tom

Body:
recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: