**NR\_key\_name:** 2E1A8BB96B517F69852563F1006C0853

SendTo: CN=Phil Golrick/O=ARRB @ ARRB

CopyTo: CN=Chet Rhodes/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=Kevin Tiernan/O=ARRB @ ARRB

DisplayBlindCopyTo:

BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB

DisplayFromDomain:

DisplayDate: 11/29/1996
DisplayDate\_Time: 2:40:21 PM
ComposedDate: 11/29/1996
ComposedDate\_Time: 2:40:00 PM

**Subject:** Re: NBR Board Reasons for final determination forms

Phil's proposed language and Chet's proposed solution look good to me.To:Jeremy Gunn/ARRBcc:Chet Rhodes/ARRB, Kevin Tiernan/ARRB From:Phil Golrick/ARRB Date:11/29/96 11:10:47 AMSubject:NBR Board Reasons for final determination formsWe need to add to the reviewtrack standard board reasons for situations where, under the newly-adopted "NBR" guidelines, a postponement is sustained for part of a document under the relevant provisions of Section 6 together with an "NBR" finding. Chet advises that the simplest way to do this would be to add an "NBR" sentence to the end of the existing Board Reasons for the various provisions of Section 6. I propose the following sentence:"Furthermore, in the opinion of the Review Board, the redacted information is not believed relevant to the historical understanding of the assassination of President John F. Kennedy."Please let us know whether this language is appropriate, so that Chet can bring the

NBR guidelines to cyberfruition. Thanks.

recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B

ReturnReceipt: Categories:

Body: