NR_key_name:	86DE34B6DD22943B852563FF007A78D2
SendTo:	CN=Phil Golrick/O=ARRB @ ARRB
СоруТо:	CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB @ ARRB
DisplayBlindCopyTo:	
BlindCopyTo:	CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From:	CN=Ron Haron/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:	
DisplayDate:	12/13/1996
DisplayDate_Time:	5:21:42 PM
ComposedDate:	12/13/1996
ComposedDate_Time:	5:17:43 PM
Subject:	Re: FBI compliance issues
	It is interpreting that they are registing a de

It is interesting that they are resisting a deposition. I think we should make clear to them that we will in all likelihood need to depose their compliance designee. Obviously FBI is perhaps the most critical (next to CIA) in demonstrating to the public that nothing of relevance is being witheld by the United States Government. To:Jeremy Gunn/ARRB, Ron Haron/ARRBcc:David Marwell/ARRB From:Phil Golrick/ARRB Date:12/13/96 02:58:40 PMSubject:FBI compliance issuesI spoke with Carol Keeley this afternoon. She advised that there is "some disagreement" on whether she should be the compliance official designated by the FBI, so the designation letter is being held up. She told me that the letter would also raise two concerns about the compliance program as outlined in our letter:(a) whether it is necessary to identify each lower-level employee involved in searching for assassination records (this is a point Carol had previously raised with Ron and me; at that time we told her that we could readily accommodate a compliance statement that identified only supervisory personnel, or identified searchers by organizational unit (especially for field office searches));(b) whether a compliance deposition would be redundant of the compliance statement. Carol said that the draft letter that is being worked on states in effect that the FBI will make people available to answer particular questions as they arise, but expresses reservations about a "general" compliance deposition. Record Ν

Body: recstat: DeliveryPriority: DeliveryReport: ReturnReceipt: Categories:

В