NR_key_name: A08854E515EE7D4A852564580051A737
SendTo: CN=Phil Golrick/O=ARRB @ ARRB

CopyTo:

DisplayBlindCopyTo:

BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Joseph Masih/O=ARRB

DisplayFromDomain:

DisplayDate: 03/12/1997
DisplayDate_Time: 10:01:11 AM
ComposedDate: 03/12/1997
ComposedDate_Time: 9:51:52 AM

Subject: Re: Postal Records (response)

(1) Were the RIFs that appeared to be erroneously generated (and therefore appeared not to correspond to actual records) in fact erroneously generated? Yes, the RIFs that appear to be erroneously generated are inadvertant data entry errors. This is based on her letter and previous telephone conversation.(2) Can Postal Service delete the erroneously generated RIFs from the disk to be sent to Steve Tilley?Based on her letter she says that she has deleted erroneously generated RIFs. However, I do not have the ability to read the disk she has provided to double-check her statement.(3) Do we have records corresponding to all of the "real" (as opposed to "erroneously generated") RIFs on the disk?In Betty's letter she states that three correspond to real records, hard copy provided, and the remainder do not. She has provided an explanation for the remaining four that appeared to correspond to real records.(4) Given that the Postal Service had told us they were not seeking any postponements, do we have unredacted originals of all the records, to take to Steve Tilley to be made available to the public?Yes, she provided unredacted originals for two of the three, and a copy of the third because the original is missing. If the answers to (1), (2), and (4) are "yes," and we have a disk to take to Steve Tilley that contains no "erroneously generated" RIFs, and shows "open in full" for all of the "real" RIFs,

Body: then I think we're ready to transfer the material to College Park.

recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B

ReturnReceipt: Categories: