

**NR\_key\_name:** FADF0A755D506FCF852564F000671F95  
**SendTo:** CN=David Marwell/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=Eileen Sullivan/O=ARRB @ ARRB  
**CopyTo:** CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB @ ARRB  
**DisplayBlindCopyTo:**  
**BlindCopyTo:** CN=R ecord/O=ARRB  
**From:** CN=Tom Samoluk/O=ARRB  
**DisplayFromDomain:**  
**DisplayDate:** 08/11/1997  
**DisplayDate\_Time:** 2:55:22 PM  
**ComposedDate:** 08/11/1997  
**ComposedDate\_Time:** 2:46:23 PM  
**Subject:** Re: Oliver Stone

If we do get media calls on the letter, I suggest that we say the following: Yes, we have received the letter. As with all correspondence received by the Review Board, it will be carefully reviewed. Following that review, we will respond to Mr. Stone. The follow-up might be to press us on what we are going to do. I would reiterate in responding that we have just received the letter and it will be reviewed. If there is a story, it will be that Stone has written us a letter. I don't think that our response is that important for the story. Eventually, when we do respond to Stone, if he does not like what we say then he could make a stink and that could garner some publicity, but for now I suggest that we stick to the quick response above. To: David Marwell/ARRB, Tom Samoluk/ARRB, Jeremy Gunn/ARRBcc: From: Eileen Sullivan/ARRB Date: 08/11/97 02:28:57  
PMSubject: Oliver StoneI just got a call from Steve Rivers. He said that he represents Oliver Stone. He wanted to give us a heads-up about a letter he is faxing to us today. Oliver Stone has written a letter in response to the release of Rankin materials, the Ford edits to the WC report in particular. I asked Mr. Rivers if this also was going to the media, and he said "yes" but wouldn't elaborate. He said he would fax it right away.

**Body:**  
**recstat:** Record  
**DeliveryPriority:** N  
**DeliveryReport:** B  
**ReturnReceipt:**  
**Categories:**