

NR_key_name: A6C1A7EF8F6718228525650C004ADDFA
SendTo: paulhoch @ uclink4.berkeley.edu @ INTERNET @ INTERLIANT
jeremy_gunn @ jfk-arrr.gov;david_marwell @ jfk-arrr.gov;Tom_Samoluk @ jfk-arrr.gov;douglas_horne @ jfk-arrr.gov
CopyTo:
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Tom Samoluk/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 09/08/1997
DisplayDate_Time: 9:40:00 AM
ComposedDate: 09/08/1997
ComposedDate_Time: 9:37:45 AM
Subject: Re: Correction to my letter of August 13

Body: Paul:Thank you for your e-mail. Jeremy is on travel, so I am writing a response to your recent letter. I hope all well and thank you for your kind words at the end of your letter.I'll get a letter in the mail to you this week.
recstat: TomTo:jeremy_gunn @ jfk-arrr.govcc:david_marwell @ jfk-arrr.gov, Tom_Samoluk @ jfk-arrr.gov, douglas_horne @ jfk-arrr.gov (bcc: Tom Samoluk/ARRB)From:paulhoch @ uclink4.berkeley.edu @ INTERNET @ INTERLIANT Date:09/06/97 11:28:35 AM MSTSubject:Correction to my letter of August 13
DeliveryPriority: Jeremy - I just noticed a substantive editing error in my letter of August 13. In the sixth paragraph on the first page, "those files" (four linesfrom the end) should have been "destroyed ONI Oswald files." That is, thefollowing two sentences (as corrected) refer to completely differentsubjects: "In connection with autopsy records, I wrote about the hypothesis ofthe 'national security' autopsy in part because I thought you might nohear that idea from anyone else. I told you about a possible sourceclaiming knowledge of destroyed ONI Oswald files, even though he was knownto me only indirectly and was not indisputably credible, because I expectedthat you would not know of him if I did not bring him to your attentionmyself." My apologies for any confusion caused by this error. Regards,Paul
DeliveryReport: Record
ReturnReceipt: N
Categories: B