NR_key_name: 22A556FE5667605385256528004 84C44
SendTo: CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo:
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Tom Samoluk/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 10/06/1997
DisplayDate_Time: 9:17:37 AM
ComposedDate: 10/06/1997
ComposedDate_Time: 9:09:41 AM
Subject: Re: Referrals

Body:

Jeremy:Thanks for the e-mail. I understand completely what you are saying. I figured that it was better to discuss an organizational matter such as this early on, and not risk something festering or causing confusion.I'll keep you cc'ed and informed on this and other matters.TomTo: Tom Samoluk/ARRBcc: From: Jeremy Gunn/ARRB Date: 10/04/97 04:52:53 AMSubject: ReferralsSorry about that. I wish that I had talked to you. It had not occurred to me for a moment that there was anything that you should have been doing differently and I apologize for sending the messages and causing any confusion.My concerns were as follows: (a) I realized that the draft e-mail that I sent to you (and that you then sent out) did not mention the Ford Library or WC; (b) I wasn't sure whether Sydney had responded to you--he wasn't in the office when I realized the problem and so I couldn't ask him, so I sent and e-mail so I wouldn't forget; (c) I didn't know whether Joe was aware of what was going on and so I sent the message to him. (By the way, his e-mail response clarifies the issue significantly. -- We need to talk about it.)I do need to be aware of what the current status is on referral issues and transfer to NARA issues. This is because those issues come up in other contexts. For example, Barry came over yesterday to talk about timetables, and both referral and NARA issues came up. One procedure that I would like to get into place is that for all records info that goes to you, I get a cc:, and vice versa.

recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: