

NR_key_name: 8A1C035E0E83DDC08525652800702EDF
SendTo: CN=Ron Haron/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo: CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB @ ARRB
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Tom Samoluk/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 10/06/1997
DisplayDate_Time: 4:27:38 PM
ComposedDate: 10/06/1997
ComposedDate_Time: 4:25:20 PM
Subject: Re: Proposed Motion for Review Board Meeting on 10/14

Fair enough. In light of your answer, my only suggestion is that we consider whether or not anything has to be done physically on 10/14 for the Board to have a "publicly recorded vote." To: Tom Samoluk/ARRBcc: Jeremy Gunn/ARRBFrom: Ron Haron/ARRBDate: 10/06/97 04:11:11 PMSubject: Re: Proposed Motion for Review Board Meeting on 10/14Tom -- I see your point. I had anticipated that the Board would vote at the 10/14 meeting even though we did not technically list this as an agenda item for an open meeting. After discussing this with Jeremy, I looked at the statute again -- it requires that the vote be publicly recorded but there is no requirement that an open meeting be held. Indeed, our regulations provide that a meeting need not be held on the issue of "chang[ing] the subject matter or the determinations to open or to close a publicly announced meeting. . . . " 36 C.F.R. i%\$ 1405.2. Thus, in my opinion, the Review Board should vote on this matter and record their vote publicly, but this can be done without convening an open meeting (perhaps by notation voting or voting when they are present at the Review Board on 10/14). I will have a voting form prepared.--Ron

Body:
recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: