

NR_key_name: OC5FBE5CF6C8B3C0852565380070D240
SendTo: Judge.John.Tunheim @ usdcmn.cchub.com @ INTERNET @ INTERLIANT
CopyTo:
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R econd/O=ARRB
From: CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 10/22/1997
DisplayDate_Time: 4:33:20 PM
ComposedDate: 10/22/1997
ComposedDate_Time: 4:32:19 PM
Subject: Re: Marshall

I received this message a 4:30 eastern time. I faxed to you a copy of my letter to Marshall, which obviously was sent before I received this. Let me know if there is anything additional that I should do.

To: Jeremy_Gunn @ jfk-arrrb.govcc: (bcc: Jeremy Gunn/ARRB)From: Judge.John.Tunheim @ usdcmn.cchub.com @ INTERNET @ INTERLIANT Date: 10/22/97 10:05:53 AM ASTSubject: Marshall

Do we have a document that details exactly what we have requested Kodak to do? Anything that proves to Professor Marshall that the analysis provided by the Kodak technical is an essential part of this process would be helpful for him. As I indicated, he is fully supportive of the digitalization of the images. What he questions is why computer experts (as opposed to pathologists) are going to analyze the images and what value the results will have. For example, would it be impossible for medical researchers to review the digitalized images at NARA without the Kodak analysis? If so, that would be highly probative to Marshall. Did you speak with Steve Tilley? Jack

Body:
recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: H
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: