

**NR\_key\_name:** 9D137059B81675DF8525655400673A4F  
**SendTo:** CN=Cathy Rodriguez/O=ARRB @ ARRB  
**CopyTo:**  
**DisplayBlindCopyTo:**  
**BlindCopyTo:** CN=R ecord/O=ARRB  
**From:** CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB  
**DisplayFromDomain:**  
**DisplayDate:** 11/19/1997  
**DisplayDate\_Time:** 1:48:17 PM  
**ComposedDate:** 11/19/1997  
**ComposedDate\_Time:** 1:47:31 PM  
**Subject:** Re: correspondence filing

I don't have any objection to its being double-filed. Because it is a litigation matter, all originals (to the extent we have them) should be in the 3 series.To: Jeremy Gunn/ARRBcc: From: Cathy Rodriguez/ARRB  
Date: 11/18/97 09:09:20 AMSubject: Correspondence filingI received a note from Jerrie saying that correspondence from DOJ regarding Sheridan matter need only be filed in the 3 series per your instruction. I have routinely in the past year I have been here double - filed these types of materials since 1) they are correspondence from DOJ and 2) they involve Sheridan. In this case the fax would be filed both in 4.17.3 and in 3 series Sheridan.This was the type of instruction I received upon coming on board and what I was told Noelle did. I have also seen evidence of Noelle having double filed items. My thought is better too many than too few places to find correspondence.If I change the method now, all of my past double filing will have been for naught in addition to the files not being consistent. I am willing to make the extra copy. I am also willing to file it since it is my series. Do you have an objection? Are there other reasons I am overlooking why this should not be done?I do realize that if you want it filed only once, my filing life will be less complicated. Pls let me know. Thanks, Cathy

**Body:**  
**recstat:** Record  
**DeliveryPriority:** N  
**DeliveryReport:** B  
**ReturnReceipt:**  
**Categories:**