

**NR\_key\_name:** E2833B2CD49688C885256563007C0F57  
 [Screened by: NARA RD-F on October 24, 2016 according to the John F. Kennedy Records Collection Act of 1992, 44 U.S.C. 2107, Section 6(3)]

**SendTo:**

**CopyTo:**

**DisplayBlindCopyTo:**

**BlindCopyTo:** CN=R ecord/O=ARRB

**From:** CN=Eileen Sullivan/O=ARRB

**DisplayFromDomain:**

**DisplayDate:** 12/04/1997

**DisplayDate\_Time:** 5:37:33 PM

**ComposedDate:** 12/04/1997

**ComposedDate\_Time:** 5:35:04 PM

**Subject:** Re: [Screened by: NARA RD-F on October 24, 2016 according to the John F. Kennedy Records Collection Act of 1992, 44 U.S.C. 2107, Section 6(3)], etc.  
 Dear Mr. [Screened by: NARA RD-F on October 24, 2016 according to the John F. Kennedy Records Collection Act of 1992, 44 U.S.C. 2107, Section 6(3)]: I discussed your affidavit with Jeremy Gunn recently, and he said you don't have to worry about it. I will see what I can find out about the photograph you mentioned. Sincerely, Eileen Sullivan  
 To: Eileen\_Sullivan @ jfk-arrrb.govcc: [Screened by: NARA RD-F on October 24, 2016 according to the John F. Kennedy Records Collection Act of 1992, 44 U.S.C. 2107, Section 6(3)]  
 From: [Screened by: NARA RD-F on October 24, 2016 according to the John F. Kennedy Records Collection Act of 1992, 44 U.S.C. 2107, Section 6(3)]  
 Date: 12/03/97 03:44:56  
 PMSubject: [Screened by: NARA RD-F on October 24, 2016 according to the John F. Kennedy Records Collection Act of 1992, 44 U.S.C. 2107, Section 6(3)], etc. Dear Ms. Sullivan, I received your email about three weeks ago in response to my email to you concerning the affidavit which I signed for the ARRB last year, while in Dallas. In your reply you said that I would be receiving a letter in length concerning this matter, and thanked me for bringing it to your attention. I realize I may seem a bit persistent, but am wondering if you could please let me know the progress with finding the photograph in question, which [Screened by: NARA RD-F on October 24, 2016 according to the John F. Kennedy Records Collection Act of 1992, 44 U.S.C. 2107, Section 6(3)] claims doesn't exist. I have recently been informed that Mr. [Screened by: NARA RD-F on October 24, 2016 according to the John F. Kennedy Records Collection Act of 1992, 44 U.S.C. 2107, Section 6(3)] is now telling people that I have apologized and admitted that I was wrong about seeing this photograph, and that anyone can call [Screened by: NARA RD-F on October 24, 2016 according to the John F. Kennedy Records Collection Act of 1992, 44 U.S.C. 2107, Section 6(3)] and he will tell them this. A portion of this has been caught on video tape over the weekend of November 22 1997. Why haven't you people contacted Mr. [Screened by: NARA RD-F on October 24, 2016 according to the John F. Kennedy Records Collection Act of 1992, 44 U.S.C. 2107, Section 6(3)]? Is it possible that [Screened by: NARA RD-F on October 24, 2016 according to the John F. Kennedy Records Collection Act of 1992, 44 U.S.C. 2107, Section 6(3)] has paid Mr. [Screened by: NARA RD-F on October 24, 2016 according to the John F. Kennedy Records Collection Act of 1992, 44 U.S.C. 2107, Section 6(3)] off? It was also stated by [Screened by: NARA RD-F on October 24, 2016 according to the John F. Kennedy Records Collection Act of 1992, 44 U.S.C. 2107, Section 6(3)] that "The inventory is incomplete-and that some of the autopsy photos are/may be missing from the archives". This shouldn't be surprising, due to the fact that he showed us one that isn't included in the inventory. For the record: I do not wish to be included in the same league as Harrison Livingstone-or ANY of the JFK assassination "buffs". I am not a buff, researcher, or any of that stuff. I don't consider myself anything but someone who is

**Body:**

**recstat:** Record

**DeliveryPriority:** N

**DeliveryReport:** B

**ReturnReceipt:**

**Categories:**