

**NR\_key\_name:** 621218DDC839537485256568005F635F  
**SendTo:** CN=Kevin Tiernan/O=ARRB  
**CopyTo:**  
**DisplayBlindCopyTo:**  
**BlindCopyTo:**  
**From:** CN=Joseph Freeman/O=ARRB  
**DisplayFromDomain:**  
**DisplayDate:** 12/09/1997  
**DisplayDate\_Time:** 1:00:49 PM  
**ComposedDate:** 12/09/1997  
**ComposedDate\_Time:** 12:21:54 PM  
**Subject:** Re: in lieu of our phone call

I've finished updating/notating the HSCA-Cross Reference database based on the faxed notes you sent me. Sorry it took me so long to finish it. Leaving aside the lined-out/copy-and-send records (now deleted from the database except where I was in some doubt -- for instance, where the notation reads 'copy and send', but where there is no cross-out line), there are 304 notated/assigned records left to be processed. A rough breakdown of the equities and staff assignees reveals: FBI (Kevin) 190 CIA (Bob) 1760 To be doublechecked but probably copy-and-send (Ben) 1510 or less each: IRS (10) (Laura) DOD (7) (Doug) NS and misc. referrals (7) (Ron) WC (6) (Sydney) NSA (5) (Manuel) Misc. kicked upstairs (4) (Jeremy) SSS (3) (check w/Joan) Numbers are for general explanatory purposes only and may be inexact. Miscellaneous thoughts on other issues raised in your e-mail of yesterday: 1) where an indicated NARA referral was particularly bogus, and where such a referral has yet to come back from, say, the FBI or CIA, there exists a possibility that NARA perhaps never sent it out after all. It's a policy question I have no strong feelings about, but if -- in our heart-of-hearts conscience -- we are genuinely certain that we're wasting everyone's time by re-referring it (when it may not have reached the agency in the first place), I don't really see any point in doing so, even if there is a indication somewhere in the record's history (possibly false) that it was once referred. This point is made in relation to records we know with certainty from long experience that the agencies are going to send back stamped (or not stamped but deemed irrelevant to them, like the CIA often notates); 2) on the issue of FDFs: for the HSCA records, in the past, we've put FDFs on Board-processed documents and Consent Released records (ie, everything noticed/published in the FR). For consistency's sake, I guess I'd recommend we continue doing so, but I don't really care and if it's easier/quicker to stop FDFing HSCA consent releases, it's OK by me. Mainly, I just want to get it done, and you know what Emerson said about a foolish consistency being the hobgoblin of little minds... especially since, as I vaguely recall, we (meaning, I think, Jeremy w/my concurrence) decided to list Consent Releases (and then FDF them) mainly to pad the numbers early on, which seems like a bad reason to do extra work now...3) as to a conference call or e-mail to take this project on to the next step, I will defer to your judgement, but it strikes me that you might be able to do it easier w/out me: you know everything I do now, and you're there. Let me know. Thanks amigo. Let me know your thoughts on any or all of this.

**Body:**  
**recstat:** Record  
**DeliveryPriority:** N  
**DeliveryReport:** B  
**ReturnReceipt:**  
**Categories:**