

NR_key_name: AA4A634D4D36C1DC85256593005DFDB1
SendTo: lpease @ netcom.com @ INTERNET @ INTERLIANT
CopyTo:
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Eileen Sullivan/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 01/21/1998
DisplayDate_Time: 12:11:50 PM
ComposedDate: 01/21/1998
ComposedDate_Time: 12:06:38 PM
Subject: Re: Miscommunication or...?

Dear Lisa, with regard to document number 124-10035-10022, it is open in full. It should be in the JFK Collection at the National Archives. This document was primarily open in full (a few redactions) before it was reviewed the Board. The Board subsequently voted to release the remaining redacted information. If you provide this information to a staff member in the JFK Collection, I am sure he or she will be able to help you. Eileen Sullivan @ jfk-arrb.gov cc: (bcc: Eileen Sullivan/ARRB) From: lpease @ netcom.com @ INTERNET @ INTERLIANT Date: 01/21/98 08:07:57 AM PST Subject: Miscommunication or...? I was surprised at this message because so far, in regards to documents requested by Probe, we've had no such problem. But sadly, there are still a ton of very interesting documents that do not appear to have been declassified. Has the document referenced below been declassified? If so, where are the other 795 pages? And if not, I'd be happy to forward a response to Joe and Jim Hargrove explaining how they are mistaken about this. Thanks in advance for any help you can provide. ----- Forwarded message ----- Date: Mon, 19 Jan 1998 18:17:03 - 0600 From: Jim Hargrove <jimh@wwa.com> To: 'Lisa Pease' <lpease@netcom.com> Subject: RE: Nichols Juror Slams FBI On Sunday, January 18, 1998 11:35 AM, Lisa Pease [SMTP:lpease@netcom.com] wrote: > Great response to Steve. A while back I started to suspect he was less > than sincere - others thought I was crazy. But I think we are really > seeing his true colors now. Makes me wonder about Barb, who considers > Steve perhaps her very best friend in all of this. Hi, Lisa. Always nice to hear from you. Here's a suggestion for a future Probe article. I've been reading Joe Backes' writeups on the ARRB releases, and it sure sounds to me like someone is playing games with the Records Act and the collection. Joe writes that so many docs claimed to be released are barely open at all--just a page or two from what should be a large document, for example. Other "releases" are missing entirely. And he describes how the retrieval procedures make it nearly impossible for researchers to work efficiently. So, how about an article called "The Mess at the Archives" or something like that? Joe would be a likely candidate to write it. One document from the whole wretched mess I'd like to see, for example, is a 797-page FBI report about Oswald aliases. Here's how the ARRB Web database describes it: <QUOTE ON> AGENCY INFORMATION AGENCY : FBI RECORD NUMBER : 124-10035-10022 RECORDS SERIES : HQ AGENCY FILE NUMBER : 105-82555-454 DOCUMENT INFORMATION ORIGINATOR : FBI FROM : DEBRUEYS, WARREN C. TO : DIRECTOR, FBI DATE : 12/02/63 PAGES : 797 DOCUMENT TYPE : PAPER, TEXTUAL DOCUMENT SUBJECTS : OSWALD, LEE, INITIAL DALLAS REPORT CLASSIFICATION : UNCLASSIFIED RESTRICTIONS : 2, 4 CURRENT STATUS : RELEASED WITH DELETIONS DATE OF LAST REVIEW : 09/24/93 OPENING CRITERIA : INDEFINITE

Body: Record
recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: