

NR_key_name: D44D55DBD18A0FB5852565D0004C9EA9
SendTo: CN=Douglas Horne/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo: CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=Kim Herd/O=ARRB @ ARRB
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Ron Haron/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 03/23/1998
DisplayDate_Time: 8:56:52 AM
ComposedDate: 03/23/1998
ComposedDate_Time: 8:49:38 AM
Subject: Re: Letter to LtCol Finnie at Navy OGC Re: Navy Compliance

Could all of us get together at some point so we can discuss what is expected of the Navy, NCIS, and ONI. I am somewhat confused as to what segments of the Navy are now taking responsibility for ONI records from the 1950's and 1960's. Are we saying that Navy OGC (or NCIS) has not completed its searches and therefore we cannot sign off on its Final Compliance Statement? To: Ron Haron/ARRBcc: Kim Herd/ARRB, Jeremy Gunn/ARRB From: Douglas Horne/ARRB Date: 03/23/98 08:34:29 AM Subject: Letter to LtCol Finnie at Navy OGC Re: Navy Compliance At this point, no such letter should go out until Kim and I meet with Ms. Conover on Wednesday and receive guidance on who in the Navy should search record Groups 181 (for DIO records) and RG 38 (for official correspondence). See Conover Call Report.

Body:
recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: