

**NR\_key\_name:** C9ABCBDE56A87065852565D0004D04C3  
**SendTo:** CN=Ron Haron/O=ARRB @ ARRB  
**CopyTo:** CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=Kim Herd/O=ARRB @ ARRB  
**DisplayBlindCopyTo:**  
**BlindCopyTo:** CN=R ecord/O=ARRB  
**From:** CN=Douglas Horne/O=ARRB  
**DisplayFromDomain:**  
**DisplayDate:** 03/23/1998  
**DisplayDate\_Time:** 9:03:11 AM  
**ComposedDate:** 03/23/1998  
**ComposedDate\_Time:** 9:01:15 AM  
**Subject:** Re: Letter to LtCol Finnie at Navy OGC Re: Navy Compliance

Of course we should all get together. but it should be after Wednesday when Kim and I meet with Ms. Conover at NCIS and receive some advice about the DIO records created by ONI within the Naval Districts from 1959-1964. To meet now would be premature. Our understanding of all this is evolving as we learn more. To: Douglas Horne/ARRBcc: Jeremy Gunn/ARRB, Kim Herd/ARRB From: Ron Haron/ARRB Date: 03/23/98 08:56:52 AM Subject: Re: Letter to LtCol Finnie at Navy OGC Re: Navy Compliance Could all of us get together at some point so we can discuss what is expected of the Navy, NCIS, and ONI. I am somewhat confused as to what segments of the Navy are now taking responsibility for ONI records from the 1950's and 1960's. Are we saying that Navy OGC (or NCIS) has not completed its searches and therefore we cannot sign off on its Final Compliance Statement? To: Ron Haron/ARRBcc: Kim Herd/ARRB, Jeremy Gunn/ARRB From: Douglas Horne/ARRB Date: 03/23/98 08:34:29 AM Subject: Letter to LtCol Finnie at Navy OGC Re: Navy Compliance At this point, no such letter should go out until Kim and I meet with Ms. Conover on Wednesday and receive guidance on who in the Navy should search record Groups 181 (for DIO records) and RG 38 (for official correspondence). See Conover Call Report.

**Body:**  
**recstat:** Record  
**DeliveryPriority:** N  
**DeliveryReport:** B  
**ReturnReceipt:**  
**Categories:**