CAD130802E9A3FC6852565D80073522B NR key name: wljoyce @ phoenix.Princeton.EDU @ INTERNET @ INTERLIANT SendTo: CopyTo: **DisplayBlindCopyTo:** CN=R ecord/O=ARRB BlindCopyTo: CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB From: DisplayFromDomain: **DisplayDate:** 03/31/1998 DisplayDate_Time: 4:00:32 PM 03/31/1998 **ComposedDate:** ComposedDate_Time: 3:59:37 PM Subject: Re: Bad FBI News Dill, we look to ward to your visit tomorrow. Tour plan sounds good. The meeting went very wen on capitor Hill. I will be preparing an e-mail for the Board shortly. I will include the details there.Best,JeremyTo:Jeremy Gunn @ jfk-arrb.govcc: (bcc: Jeremy Gunn/ARRB)From:wljoyce @ phoenix.Princeton.EDU @ INTERNET @ INTERLIANT Date:03/31/98 02:40:27 PMSubject:Re: Bad FBI NewsJeremy: This is a truly appalling development, the more so for the trulyinchoate nature of the FBI's behavior! I frankly don't believe that Davidand Jack were told anything of the sort, and, as you point out, they neverobjected when we stated our goals for the final year. Nor did they everwrite us! I hope your meeting with Burton's staff went well today, andthat this may motivate the FBI to reconsider their situation...I hope that we might discuss this tomorrow. My plan for tomorrow is toshow the flag by visiting with a few staff on a more or less random basis, hang out in what I think of as Jack's office and hope that there may bestaff who might want to discuss their job futures, their current work (tohelp me understand better what they do), and then join me for a veryinformal bag lunch to discuss whatever...How does that sound? I hope that my visit might contribute in some smallway to a growing perception that the Board (or at least parts of it!) caresabout them and their work! Let me know when it might be convenient for usto chat as well...See you tomorrow,--BillAt 06:00 PM 3/30/98 -0500, you wrote:>>Carol Keeley called me a few minutes ago and reported, officially, that the FBI's position is that it will not be able to complete its JFK workduring this Fiscal Year. We had a cordial 20-minute conversation duringwhich the following points were raised:>>-- I asked why we had not been notified earlier. She responded that sheand John Collingwood had told David and Jack, during the time>reauthorization was being sought, that the FBI would not be able tofinish. I said that I had never been told this, and, in any case, we hadsent communications to the FBI that clearly articulated our goal and thatthe FBI had never contested it. She said that a draft FBI letter had beenprepared in October 1997 stating that the FBI would not be able to finish, but that she did not know why it had not been sent. She said that somechanges are being made to it now and that it will be sent shortly.>>-- I said that I thought that she should have hired sufficient personnela few months ago. She responded that it would have taken too long to trainthem.>>-- She said that they had been considering how the work could becompleted after the Review Board goes out of business.>>-- We discussed the Board's ultimate weapon: voting to releaseunreviewed documents. I said that it was my understanding that the Boardwas prepared to do this. I said that if this step were to be taken, wewould want to vote on the least sensitive records and spend our timereviewing the more sensitive records on a document-by-document basis. Sheagreed that we should attempt to prioritize.>>Laura Body: recstat: Record **DeliveryPriority:** Ν В

DeliveryReport: ReturnReceipt: Categories: